

Iranian J Publ Health, Vol. 41, No.3, 2012, pp.65-70

# **Original Article**

# **Evaluation of Culture and PCR Methods for Diagnosis of Group B** Streptococcus Carriage in Iranian Pregnant Women

R Bakhtiari<sup>1</sup>, MM Soltan Dallal<sup>1</sup>, JF Mehrabadi<sup>2</sup>, S Heidarzadeh<sup>1</sup>, \*MR Pourmand<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Pathobiology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup> Genetic Engineering Group, Biotechnological Studies Center, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Malek-Ashtar University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

(Received 18 Jun 2011; accepted 11 Jan 2012)

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Group B *streptococcus* (GBS) is one of the most important cause of morbidity and mortality among newborns especially in developing countries. It has been shown that the screening approach rather than the identification of maternal clinical risk factors for early-onset neonatal GBS disease is more effective in preventing early-onset GBS neonatal disease. The objective of this study was to detect GBS among clinical samples of women using PCR and standard microbiological culture.

**Methods:** Samples were taken from 375 women at 28-38 weeks of gestation during six month from January 15 till June 15, 2011 from a hospital in Tehran, Iran. Samples were tested by standard culture using Todd–Hewitt broth, blood agar and by PCR targeting the *cfb* gene.

**Results:** Among the 375 women, 35 (9.3%) were identified as carriers of group B *streptococci* on the basis of the results of the cultures of specimens, compared to 42 (11.2%) on the basis of PCR assay.

Conclusion: We found that GBS can be detected rapidly and reliably by a PCR assay in vaginal secretions from women at the time of delivery. This study also showed that the rate of incidence of GBS is high in Iranian women.

Keywords: Group B Streptococcus, Pregnant women, Polymerase Chain Reaction

## Introduction

Group B *streptococcus* (GBS) is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in newborns. The incidence of neonatal GBS infection ranges from 0.5 to >2 per 1000 live births in different geographical areas. GBS neonatal disease is classified as either early-onset disease (<7 days) or late-onset disease (>7–90 days) (1). Early onset disease accounts for 70–80% of cases. GBS colonization in pregnant women is the single most important risk factor for early-onset newborn disease due to vertical transmission and colonization of the infant during delivery. Intrapartum antibiotic treatment lowers the

incidence of early onset disease (2). Infants who have such infections may require prolonged hospitalization, and those who survive may experience mental retardation or visual loss. Among pregnant women, the prevalence of colonization with group B *streptococci* ranges from 15 to 40%. Women who are carriers are also at risk for severe infections (3). It has been shown that the screening approach rather than the identification of maternal clinical risk factors for early-onset neonatal GBS disease is more effective in preventing early-onset GBS neonatal disease (4). The culture method has a slow turna-

round time, requiring 36 to 72 h before results can be issued. In addition to being time consuming, this method requires an experienced technician to identify the suspected colonies, which are not always hemolytic (5). The recent commercial availability of a rapid, highly sensitive, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay suitable for the detection of GBS colonization in pregnant women during delivery provides improvements over culture-based and immunological methods. A number of PCR assays targeting different genes for the specific detection of GBS have been developed (6).

The objective of this study was to detect GBS carriers among pregnant women using PCR and standard microbiological cultures.

## **Materials and Methods**

Samples were taken from the mucus of the anal and vaginal tissues of 375 pregnant women at 28-38 weeks of gestation during six month from January 15 till June 15, 2011 from Mirzakuchak khan hospital in Tehran, Iran. For anal specimens, a swab was carefully inserted approximately 2.5 cm beyond the anal sphincter and then gently rotated to touch anal crypts. For vaginal specimens, excessive secretions or discharge were wiped away, and secretions from the mucosa of the lower third of the vagina were obtained with a swab. Each swab was transported in Stuart's bacterial transport medium immediately after the sample was obtained. Samples were tested by standard culture using Todd-Hewitt broth and blood agar (7) and by PCR (8) targeting the cfb gene.

#### Culture

In the laboratory, the same specimen was used for optimized GBS culture and for PCR. Each swab was twisted and twirled in 0.55 ml of sterile saline; 50 µl was seeded on selective blood agar (Columbia CNA)

agar with 10 mg nalidixic acid 121 and 10 mg colistin 121) with 5% human blood, (9) and 50 µl was used inoculate selective GBS broth (Todd-Hewitt broth with 15 mg nalidixic acid 121 and 8 mg gentamicin). The selective blood agar plates were incubated for 48 h, and the broth was subcultured onto non-selective blood agar plates after 24 h of incubation. Blood agar plates were examined after 24 and 48 h, and beta-hemolytic and non-hemolytic, pyrrolidonyl arylamidase-negative colonies were identified as GBS using a commercial latex agglutination test. Growth was semi-quantified using the categories of abundant/moderate (10 GBS colonies per plate), sparse (1-10 GBS colonies per plate) and growth only after enrichment in selective GBS broth (7). The GBS strain ATTC 12386 was used as positive control and reference to set - up culture media.

#### **PCR**

The PCR product amplified by using forward TTTCACCAGCTGTATTAGAAGTA primer and reverse primer GTTCCCTGAACAT-TATCTTTGAT as previously described (10). For nucleic acid extraction, 300 µl of suspension was added to an equal volume of lysis solution [containing 15 µl lysozyme (Sigma; 20 mg/ml), 6 µl proteinase K (Sigma; 20 mg/ml), 6 µl mutanolysin (Sigma, 10000 U/ml), and 273 µl TE buffer] and incubated for 15 min at 37 °C and 15 min °C. DNA was purified using a DNeasy Tissue kit (Qiagen) and eluted in a volume of 100 µl. Extracted DNA was stored at -20 °C till utilize. A 2 µl aliquot of the purified DNA solution was used as a template for PCR. The negative control consist of all PCR reagent without DNA (11). Moreover, for confirming of PCR product, an amplicon was eluted from the agarose gel after electrophoresis and was sequenced. Then, the exact sequence was aligned with a

reference *cfb* gene (accession no. **EF694027.1**) by ClustalW software (12).

#### **Results**

Among the 375 pregnant women at 28-38 weeks of gestation, 35 (9.3 %) were identified as carriers of group B streptococci on the basis of the results of the cultures of combined vaginal and anal specimens, compared to 42 (11.2 %) on the basis of PCR vaginal and anal specimens. women had a positive result using the PCR method but were identified as negative by culturing, but was not detected any samples vice versa. Sixty percent of women who had a positive PCR result used an IUD, and 33% had genital tract disease (27%/3% for the culture assay). In addition 16.7% women who had a positive result in the PCR assay received antibiotics (20% for the culture assay). Overall, group B streptococci were detected slightly more often by the PCR assay than by culturing. The sensitivity of the PCR assay was 100%, and the negative predictive value was 100%, compared with the culture results. The specificity and positive predictive value of the PCR assay were 98% and 100%, respectively. The amount of time required to obtain the results was 3 hours for the conventional PCR assay and at least 36 hours for culturing.

## **Discussion**

To prevent group B streptococcal disease in neonates, the current recommendation is to screen pregnant women by culturing combined vaginal and anal secretions at 35 to 37 weeks' gestation and to treat those with positive cultures or to treat women with risk factors for disease transmission empirically (13). Exhaustively described in the literature, nucleic acid testing assays based on nucleic acid amplification technologies, such as PCR,

offer a great potential for rapid, highly sensitive, and specific detection of various infectious agents directly from clinical samples (14,15). We have used PCR for the detection of group B streptococci that have been shown to be specific and sensitive in tests with purified DNA. In addition, we have used the culture assay for comparison (16). Overall, group B streptococcal colonization was detected more often by the PCR assay than by culturing. Although PCR assays are extremely sensitive, the bacterial load in that sample may have been very low, or the sample could have been contaminated during the culturing process (3). So, in spit of culture method which introduced as a gold standard, but it seems that PCR is more sensitive. Antibiotics could theoretically have decreased the specificity of the PCR assays, but for at least the two women who received antibiotics (penicillin and cephalosporins) before the specimens were obtained, the results of the PCR assays and the results of culturing were consistent. The GBS carriage rate of almost 10% in the present study is similar to the rates reported in the majority of published studies, ranging between 10 and 29% (4,17-21). However, higher prevalences of GBS colonization were described in a Danish study from 2004 (22) and a Canadian study from 2006 (23). Also, Shabayek (10) reported GBS was detected in 25.3% of isolates by Islam medium, in 30.6% by using the cfb PCR assay and in 30% by using the scpB PCR assay. The different prevalence rates may be explained by gestational age at culturing, differences in culture sites and culture techniques, a change in the prevalence over time, or real differences in the prevalence in different populations or ethnic groups (20). There may be a higher bacterial load in separate specimens compared to combined specimens, and collecting, processing and analyzing vaginal and rectal specimens separately may lead to the detection of more GBS-colonized women (24).

Although the importance of infection as a cause of preterm delivery is gaining recognition, little is known about the role of GBS infection in miscarriages. McDonald and Chambers (25) stated that GBS was a key pathogen in unsuspected intrauterine infections underlying spontaneous midgestation abortions. The study of Daugaard et al. (26) an association between the demonstrated presence of group B streptococci in the urine and on the cervix and late spontaneous abortions, but El Kersh et al. (27) found no correlation between the presence of group B streptococci and a history of repeated spontaneous miscarriages. In the US, the screening-based strategy has been recommended since 2002 (2). This recommendation was based on data found in a recent study comparing the screening- and risk factor-based strategies. The conclusion of that study was that the screening-based strategy was over 50 % more effective than the risk factorbased strategy (28). The management strategy depends on local factors, such as the percentage of GBS carriers and the percentage of pregnant women with prenatal risk factors within the population, the organization of prenatal care and the local availability of laboratory facilities. choice of the preventive strategy should be based on rationality, cost-effectiveness and current knowledge and possibilities (29).

We found that GBS can be detected rapidly and reliably by a PCR assay using combined vaginal and anal secretions from pregnant women at the time of delivery. This study also showed that the rate of incidence of GBS is high in Iranian pregnant women. We, therefore, emphatically recommend a screening-based strategy to detect GBS in Iranian pregnant women.

#### **Ethical considerations**

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, Informed Consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc) have been completely observed by the authors.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a research grant from Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### References

- Bergseng H, Bevanger L, Rygg M, Bergh K (2007). Real-time PCR targeting the sip gene for detection of group B Streptococcus colonization in pregnant women at delivery. J Med Microbiol, 56(2):223-8.
- Schrag S, Gorwitz R, Fultz-Butts K, Schuchat A (2002). Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease. Revised guidelines from CDC. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Recomm Rep, 51:1– 22.
- 3. Bergeron MG, Ke D, Ménard C, Picard FJ, Gagnon M, Bernier M, Ouellette M, Roy PH, Marcoux S, Fraser WD. (2000). Rapid detection of group B *streptococci* in pregnant women at delivery. *N Engl J Med*, 343(3):175-9.
- Schrag S, Phil D, Zell ER, Stat M, Lynfield R, Roome A (2002). A population based comparison of strategies to prevent early-onset group B streptococcal disease in neonates. N Engl J Med, 347:233–239.
- Koneman EW, Janda WM, Schreckenberger PC, Winn WC (1997). Color atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology. 5th ed. Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia, Pa.
- 6. Picard FJ, Bergeron MG (2004). Laboratory detection of group B *Streptococcus* for

- prevention of perinatal disease. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, 23:665–671.
- 7. Gillespie SH, Hawkey PM (2005). *Principle and Practice of Clinical Bacteriology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 8. Coleman WB, Tsongalis GJ, (2006). *Molecular Diagnostics for the Clinical Laboratorian*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Humana Press.
- 9. El Aila1 NA, Inge Tency I, Claeys G, Saerens B, Cools P, Verstraelen H (2010). Comparison of different sampling techniques and of different culture methods for detection of group B streptococcus carriage in pregnant women. BMC Infect Dis, 10:285-292.
- 10. Shabayek S, Abdalla S, Abouzeid AM (2010). Comparison of *scpB* gene and *cfb* gene polymerase chain reaction assays with culture on Islam medium to detect Group B Streptococcus in pregnancy. *Indian J Med Microbiol*, 28(4):320-5.
- 11. Sussman M, (2002). Molecular Medical Microbiology. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Academic Press.
- 12. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 22:4673-4680.
- 13. Daniels J, Gray J, Pattison H, Roberts T, Edwards E, Milner P (2009). Rapid testing for group B streptococcus during labor: a test accuracy study with evaluation of acceptability and costeffectiveness. *Health Technol Assess*, 13(42):1-154.
- 14. Ke D, Ménard C, Picard FJ, Boissinot M, Ouellette M, Roy PH, Bergeron MG (2000). Development of conventional and realtime PCR assays for the rapid detection of group B streptococci. Clin Chem, 46:324–331.
- 15. Michelle J, Alfa MJ, Sepehri Sh, Gagne PD, Helawa M, Sandhu G, Harding MG (2010). Real-Time PCR Assay Provides Reliable Assessment of Intrapartum Carriage of Group B Streptococcus. *J Clin Microbiol*, 48(9):3095-3099.

- 16. Chan KL, Levi K, Towner, KJ, Weston VC, Ramsay MM, Kean LH (2006). Evaluation of the sensitivity of a rapid polymerase chain reaction for detection of group B streptococcus. J Obstet Gynaecol, 26(5):402–406.
- 17. Werawatakul Y, Wilailuckana C, Taksaphan S, Thinkumrup J, Pragarasung M, Chouwajaroen P (2001). Prevalence and risk factors of *Streptococcus agalactiae* (group B) colonization in mothers and neonatal contamination at Srinagarind Hospital. *J Med Assoc Thai*, 84:1422–1429.
- 18. Lyytikainen O, Nuorti JP, Halmesmaki E, Carlson P, Uotila J, Vuento R (2003). Invasive group B streptococcal infections in Finland: a population-based study. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 9:469–473.
- 19. Brimil N, Barthell E, Heindrichs U, Kuhn M, Lutticken R, Spellerberg B (2006). Epidemiology of *Streptococcus agalactiae* colonization in Germany. *Int J Med Microbiol*, 296:39–44.
- 20. Den Berb V, Sprij AM, Oostvogel AJ, Mutsaers PM (2006). Prevalence of colonisation with group B *streptococci* in pregnant women of a multi-ethnic population in The Netherlands. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*, 124:178–183.
- 21. de Tejada BM, Pfister RE, Renzi G, François P, Irion O, Boulvain M (2011). Intrapartum Group B streptococcus detection by rapid polymerase chain reaction assay for the prevention of neonatal sepsis. *Clin Microbiol Infect*, 17:1786–1791.
- 22. Hansen SM, Uldbjerg N, Kilian M, Sorensen UB (2004). Dynamics of *Streptococcus agalactiae* colonization in women during and after pregnancy and in their infants. *J Clin Microbiol*, 42:83–8.
- 23. Rallu F, Barriga P, Scrivo C, Martel-Laferriere V, Laferriere C (2006). Sensitivities of antigen detection and PCR assays greatly increased compared to that of the standard culture method for screening for group B *streptococcus*

- carriage in pregnant women. *J Clin Microbiol*, 44:725–728.
- 24. De-Paris F, Machado AB, Gheno TC, Ascoli BM, Oliveira KR, Barth AL (2011). Group B *Streptococcus* detection: comparison of PCR assay and culture as a screening method for pregnant women. *Braz J Infect Dis*, 15(4):323-7.
- 25. McDonald HM, Chambers HM (2000). Intrauterine infection and spontaneous midgestation abortion: is the spectrum of microorganisms similar to that in preterm labor? *Infect Dis Obstet Gyne-col*, 8(5/6):220–7.
- 26. Daugaard HO, Thomsen AC, Henriques U, Ostergaard A (1988). Group B streptococci in the lower urogenital

- tract and late abortions. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 158(1):28–31.
- 27. El Kersh TA, Al Nuaim LA, Kharfy TA, Al Shammary FJ, Al Saleh SS, Al Zamel FA (2002). Detection of genital colonization of group B streptococci during late pregnancy. *Saudi Med J*, 23(1):56–61.
- 28. Kubota T (1998). Relationship between maternal group B streptococcal colonization and pregnancy outcome. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2(6):926–30.
- 29. Trijbels-Smeulders M, Adriaanse AH, Gerards LJ, Kimpen JL (2003). Strategy to prevent neonatal early onset group B streptococcal (GBS) disease in The Netherlands. *Rev Med Microbiol*, 14:35–9.