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Abstract

**Background:** The End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) is irreversible impairment of renal function, to the extent that the patient becomes permanently dependent on alternative therapies, especially in children. We aimed to evaluate the graft and patient survival rate among iranian pediatric recipients of kidney transplantation through a systematic review and meta-analysis.

**Methods:** National (SID, MagIran, IranMedex, IranDoc) and international (Cochrane, Embase, ScienceDirect, Scopus, PubMed, and Web of Science) databases of, were searched to find studies published electronically from 1985 until Nov 2019. Heterogeneity between the collected studies was determined using the Cochran’s test (Q) and I². Meta-regression was used to investigate the factors affecting statistical heterogeneity in 1, 5, and 10-year survival of kidney transplantation in addition to subgroup analysis based on cities.

**Results:** Ten studies were qualified to enter the meta-analysis. The total participants in the study were 2673 people with an average age of 13.71±3.65 years. In the random-effects model, the survival rate of 1, 3, 5 and 10 yr of kidney transplantation were 91, 88, 81 and 70%, respectively.

**Conclusion:** By providing the documented and comprehensive evidence, can be the basis of many plans, policies, and decisions of various sectors of development. These sectors include the evaluation of treatment programs and health interventions in the pediatric kidney transplantation. The results of the present study can provide an acceptable estimate of the survival rate of transplanted children in Iran and will be useful for planning prevention and treatment programs.
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Introduction

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) involves a range of different pathophysiological processes associated with abnormal renal function and progressive decline in glomerular filtration rate (1, 2). The end stage renal disease is irreversible impairment of renal function, to the extent that the
patient becomes permanently dependent on alternative therapies, especially in children (1, 3). The incidence rate of chronic kidney disease among children in Iran is 16.8 per million, and the rate of kidney transplantation among children is 7.2 per million (4). Treatment options in patients with the end-stage renal disease include hemodialysis and kidney transplantation (1). Since kidney transplantation is the treatment of choice for patients with end-stage renal disease (5-10), it is considered the most effective treatment for end-stage renal disease in many cases (11). Kidney transplantation improves the quality of life, as well as the chance of patients’ survival (12-15).

Despite the advantages, performing kidney transplants in children and adolescents has its challenges. At least 20% of kidney failure at this age is related to urinary tract abnormalities. Regarding these challenges, the abdominal space of children is small for organs and vascular anastomosis is challenging for small kidneys. Maintaining hemodynamic stability and fluid therapy at the time of transplantation, as well as prescribing and controlling medications for children, can be difficult. Despite all the efforts made to increase the survival rate of the transplanted kidney in children, most children need an alternative transplant over time.

And if a transplanted kidney is taken from a living child donor, it will have a longer survival rate than a transplanted kidney from a cadaver (16, 17).

Prophylactic renal transplantation is currently recommended as a treatment and accounts for about 30% of pediatric kidney transplants in the United States and the United Kingdom. Not performing dialysis can keep blood vessels healthy and can increase the survival rate of a transplanted kidney (18). Many recent advances in the management of pediatric kidney transplantation, immunosuppressive drugs, and surgical techniques have led to an increase in the survival of transplanted kidneys (19-21). The survival rate of transplanted kidneys in children has not been high in previous studies due to infections, transplanted kidney rejection, and improper use of drugs (22, 23).

One-year uncensored graft survival of patients who were ABO-incompatible was 96% versus 98% in ABO-compatible. Three-year uncensored graft survival of patients who were ABO-incompatible was 92% versus 94% in ABO-compatible (18, 24).

Up to now, no comprehensive study has been conducted on the survival rate of kidney transplant patients and the allograft in Iran. We aimed to evaluate the graft and patient survival rate among iranian pediatric recipients of kidney transplantation through a systematic and meta-analysis review. Especially, there is insufficient data related to the results of pediatric kidney transplantation and the factors affecting it in Iran.

Methods

Search strategy

This study is a systematic and meta-analysis review. It was based on the reporting system of systematic and meta-analysis review studies “Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses” (PRISMA). PRISMA is an evidence-based minimum set of items for reporting in systematic reviews and meta-analyses. PRISMA focuses on the reporting of reviews evaluating randomized trials. However, it can also be used as a basis for reporting systematic reviews of other types of research, particularly evaluations of interventions. Based on the PRISMA checklist, in the first stage by searching the database of Jihad Daneshgahi (Database Information Scientific), The Iranmedex database, MagIran and the database of medical science articles from 1985 until Nov 2020 by using the keywords Iran, kidney, kidney transplant, age, children and survival, internally published documents were collected and related items were extracted.

In the next step, by using PubMed, Scopus, ISI web of knowledge, and Direct Science from 1985 until Nov 2020, keywords were searched by employing OR and AND. For example, Kidney
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Transplantation OR Renal Transplantation AND Survival OR Rate OR Survival Analysis AND Pediatric OR Child AND Iran, documents, articles, and abstracts of articles related to the research topic were collected and its full text was extracted. Besides, the sources of the obtained documents were examined to obtain the relevant titles. Similarly, specialized journals in the field of nephrology were also reviewed at the same time. For articles whose full text was not available, to obtain the full text, an email was sent to the authors. After extracting the documents, article specifications, and article abstracts, were entered in the EndNote software, and duplicates were removed using the software. In the next step, by reviewing the titles, studies unrelated to the aim of this study were excluded, then the full text of the article was ensured to be relevant to the purpose of the study, and irrelevant items were removed.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**
The main inclusion criteria in this research were the survival of kidney or nephrology patients in the population of children and adolescents in Iran. Population criteria based on age were considered under 18 yr old. In addition, survival rates for the population studied should be reported in the articles. Exclusion criteria included not being relevant to the topic, not reporting survival index, adult population, and non-Iranian sample.

**Quality assessment and data extraction**
The authors assessed the quality of the studies using an edited checklist from the prestigious Joanna Bridges Institute tailored to the descriptive studies (25). This checklist consists of 9 questions which are: a study of sample survey appropriate to the target population, sample collection method, sample size, characteristics of participants and place of study, analysis of samples and subgroups, tools used, the conditions of the research, proportional and accurate statistical analyzes, and at the end, about the response rate mentioned in the sample. The answer to each question is three options (yes, no, unspecified) and only the option “yes” is given a score of 1 and the other options are given a score of zero.

**Statistical analysis**
In each study, considering the survival rate as a probability of binomial distribution, its variance was calculated through binomial distribution and Cochran test (Q) and $I^2$ the index was used to evaluate the heterogeneity of the studies. Keeping in mind the methodological heterogeneity in the studies included for meta-analysis, a stochastic effects model was used to combine the results of the studies. In the case of heterogeneity, a random effect model along with the inverse-variance method were used. A fixed effect model was applied in the absence of heterogeneity.

In this study, meta-regression was used to investigate the factors affecting statistical heterogeneity in 1, 5, and 10-year survival of kidney transplantation in addition to subgroup analysis based on cities. Evaluation of diffusion bias for only 1-year survival due to the adequacy of the number of studies was first evaluated with a funnel plot and then using Egger and Begg tests the significance of diffusion bias was examined. Data analysis was performed using Stata (StataCrop, College Station, Texas, USA) software ver. 14.1.

**Results**
After study selection process, 10 studies conducted between 1985 and Nov 2020 were qualified to enter the meta-analysis (Fig. 1). The total participants in the study were 2673 people with an average age of $13.71\pm3.65$ yr. The highest sample size was reported in the study with 907 people and the lowest sample size was reported in the study with 21 people. Six studies (60%) were performed in Tehran Province, 2 studies in Mashhad, and 2 studies in Shiraz. In these studies, 9 studies reported one-year survival, 4 studies 3-year survival, 8 studies 5-year survival, and 5 studies reported 10-year survival of kidney transplantation. In addition, only 3 studies reported 1-year survival and 2 studies reported 5-year survival of kidney transplant patients. Table 1 shows the general characteristics and data for each study.
Results obtained from kidney transplant survival meta-analysis

In the random-effects model, the survival rate of 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 10 yr of kidney transplantation were 91, 85, 88, 81, 64, and 70%, respectively (Fig. 2-4). In addition, the 1, 5, and 10 year survival rates of kidney transplantation based on the cities under study showed in Fig. 5 and 6. The status of Publication bias for the one-year survival of kidney transplantation (which had the highest number of studies) is presented in the form of a funnel plot in Appendix 1 (Not published). In addition, due to the possibility of diffusion bias based on the proposed figure, however, the Egger test ($P=0.36$) and the Begg test ($P=0.46$) did not confirm the existence of this bias. Survival for other years, given that there was not enough power for publication bias test, was not presented.

Table 1: Characteristics of the included studies in systematic review of the survival rate of graft and patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author, Publication year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Age of recipient</th>
<th>Mean dialysis month</th>
<th>Mean follow up month</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Survival Rate of Graft</th>
<th>Survival Rate of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hashemi, G. et al, (40)</td>
<td>Shiraz, Iran</td>
<td>1989-1994</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khammar, M. A. et al, (41)</td>
<td>Mashhad, Iran</td>
<td>1989-1996</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahdavi, R. et al, (42)</td>
<td>Mashhad, Iran</td>
<td>1989-1999</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otukesh, H. et al, (43)</td>
<td>Tehran, Iran</td>
<td>1985-2003</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otukesh, H. et al, (44)</td>
<td>Tehran, Iran</td>
<td>1985-2005</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otukesh, H. et al, (45)</td>
<td>Tehran, Iran</td>
<td>1987-2009</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>49.37</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almasi-Hashiani, A. et al, (46)</td>
<td>Shiraz, Iran</td>
<td>1999-2009</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoseini, R. et al, (47)</td>
<td>Tehran, Iran</td>
<td>1985-2012</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gholamrezaie, H.et al, (16)</td>
<td>Tehran, Iran</td>
<td>2008-2015</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naderi, G. et al, (3)</td>
<td>Tehran, Iran</td>
<td>1989-2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1: Flowchart of the included eligible studies in systematic review

![Flowchart Image]

**Fig. 2:**

**A:** 1-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney

**B:** 2-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney
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**Fig. 3:** A: 3-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney, B: 5-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney

**Fig. 4:** A: 7-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney, B: 10-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney
Fig. 5: A: 1-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney by City, B: 5-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney by City

Fig. 6: 10-year Survival Rate of Iranian Pediatric Patients with Transplanted Kidney by City
Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the Chi-square test and $I^2$ index, seen in the figures. The reasons for the heterogeneity between studies for the one-year survival of kidney transplantation in meta-regression were that none of the recorded variables showed a significant effect. For the 10-year survival rate of kidney transplantation, the only sample size has a significant effect on the heterogeneity of studies (Table 2).

### Table 2: Results of meta-regression for survival rate of graft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival rate</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-year survival rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of publication</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of recipient</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average months of dialysis</td>
<td>-0.0041</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up time</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>-0.00006</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year survival rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of publication</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of recipient</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average months of dialysis</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up time</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year survival rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of publication</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of recipient</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average months of dialysis</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up time</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results obtained from meta-analysis of survival of kidney transplant patients**

For the survival of kidney transplant patients, considering that there was only enough data for 1-year and 5-year survival, an analysis was performed which showed that the 1-year survival of patients was 100% and 5-year survival was 99% (Information about this content is provided through the appendix. The interested readers may contact the corresponding author to reach them).

**Checking the quality of articles imported for meta-analysis**

Out of 10 studies submitted for meta-analysis, 6 studies had a score of 5 or higher, and one study had a score of 3 and was of low quality. Details of the quality assessment results of the articles submitted for meta-analysis are presented in Table 3.
Table 3: Qualities of studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author/ Year</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q5</th>
<th>Q6</th>
<th>Q7</th>
<th>Q8</th>
<th>Q9</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hashemi, G. et al, 1996</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khammar, M. A. et al, 2001</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahdavi, R. et al, 2002</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otukesh, H. et al, 2006</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otukesh, H. et al, 2008</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otukesh, H. et al, 2011</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almasi-Hashiani, A. et al, 2012</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoseini, R. et al, 2015</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gholamrezaie, H. et al, 2020</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naderi, G. et al, 2017</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keys:
A. Q1-Q9 represents questions used to assess the quality of included studies, listed below.
Q1. Was the sample frame appropriate to address the target populations?
Q2. Were the study participants sampled in appropriate way?
Q3. Was the sample size adequate?
Q4. Were the study subjects and setting described in details?
Q5. Was the data analysis conducted with sufficient coverage of the identified sample?
Q6. Was a valid method used in the identification of conditions?
Q7. Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants?
Q8. Was there an appropriate statistical analysis?
Q9. Was the response rate adequate, and if not, was the low response rate managed appropriately?
B. Y, yes; N, no; U, unclear; NA, not applicable

Discussion

The end stage renal disease is irreversible impairment of renal function, to the point that the patient becomes permanently dependent on alternative therapies, especially in children (1, 3). Kidney transplantation is one of the most effective treatments for patients with advanced kidney disease (3). In present study, the survival rate and influential factors that can exert a noticeable impact on transplanted kidney were investigated.

In the current study, the 1-year survival rate of the transplanted kidney came out to be 91%, which is consistent with the results of the other studies (3, 26, 27). Moreover, the results obtained are not only eligible for comparison with the results of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies (NAPRTCS) annual report, but also our results demonstrate higher percentages for 1-year survival (28). Interestingly, 1-year survival rate was reported 94%, which is even higher. In Japan, this survival rate was 81%, which is much less than the result obtained in this study (29). The one-year survival rate of kidney transplant patients was 100%, while in Egypt; the rate was reported to be 94% (26).

A general comparative overview of the results obtained from the studies of other countries reveals that the one-year survival rate of transplanted kidneys and transplanted patients in children is at a high level and is consistent with the results of valid centers around the world. Monitoring the recovery and prevention from infections, paying more attention to cardiovascular risk factors, and updating clinical practice guidelines are also likely to improve the survival.
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The 3-year survival rate of kidney transplantation came out to be 88%, while the 3-year survival rate reported in Egypt was 89% (26). Moreover, in India, better results were reported, as the 3-year survival rate of the transplanted kidney was 93% (30). In Turkey, this rate is reported to be 75%, which is much lower than the survival rate computed in this study (31).

In this study, the 5-year survival rate of the transplanted kidney came out to be 81%, which is not only comparable with the results of other studies conducted in different parts of the world, but it is even more. In Greece, the rate was 81% (32) and 67% in Russia (33). While in Germany, this rate is reported to be 85.5% (27), the United States 85% (33), and Germany 91% (35) which is higher compared to that in our study. In Australia and New Zealand, the 5-year survival rate of transplanted kidneys is 99%, which is higher than the rate reported in many developed countries (36).

One of the reasons reported for the low 5-year survival rate of transplanted kidneys is the consumption of combined medications (steroids, cyclosporine, and azathioprine) that suppress the immune system (3). Moreover, in this study, the 5-year survival rate of kidney transplant patients was computed 99%, which is more compared to other studies in the world, including Russia which is 86% (32), Germany which is 97.6% (35), and Greece which is 92.7% (32).

A comprehensive comparative analysis of the results obtained from studies in other countries proved that the 5-year survival rate of transplant patients in children is at a high level and is consistent with the results of authentic centers around the world. Regarding the 5-year survival of transplanted kidneys, by improving surgical techniques and regular and correct use of immunosuppressive drugs, acute rejection of transplanted kidneys can be prevented and the survival rate of transplanted kidneys can be increased.

In the present study, the 10-year survival rate of transplanted kidneys showed to be 70%, which in comparison with the results of other studies, is better and higher. Other countries with lower rates are Egypt 59% (36), Germany 71% (27), Russia 53% (33) and the United States is 68% (37). In contrast to our result, a study from Japan reported 89% for 10-year survival, which is more than that of the present study.

One of the factors affecting the 5-year survival rate of the transplanted kidneys was the age of the transplant recipient, so that in older ages, the probability of survival decreases and in younger ages, the probability of survival increases. In the US, younger age was one of the most important predictors of transplant survival (38). While in Spain, one of the factors affecting the survival rate is young age, the reason for which is an increase in the number of complications, venous thrombosis, and high rate of kidney transplant rejection over the age of 5 years (39). While due to the presence of only 5 children under 6 yr in the study population, no relationship was observed between the survival rate of the transplanted kidney and the age of the recipient (16).

**Limitations**

One of the limitations of the present study was that the information reported in the input articles was incomplete and therefore, many influencing factors such as recipient and donor sex and part of kidney received were not included in the subgroup analysis.

These factors can also explain the significant heterogeneity between studies, which even existed in the subgroups. In the present study, to access the required information, the authors of the articles were contacted but not enough response was received except for a few cases. In order to adjust the heterogeneity of the studies, we used a Stochastic Impact model. Due to limited number of studies reporting 2- and 7-year survivals and lower validity of their results, the survival rates followed an increasing trend.

**Conclusion**

Kidney transplantation is currently the best alternative treatment among patients with end-stage renal disease. Those patients who receive a kidney transplant have better survival rate as well as
a better quality of life than patients who are being treated by dialysis. A general comparison of the results obtained from this study with the studies conducted in other countries show that the survival rate of the transplanted kidneys and transplanted pediatric patients is at a higher level. It is also consistent with the results of authentic centers around the world. The results of this study, by providing the documented and comprehensive evidence, can be the basis of many plans, policies, and decisions of various sectors of development. These sectors include the evaluation of treatment programs and health interventions in the pediatric kidney transplantation. The present meta-analysis study also had valid pieces of evidence. In this study, in addition to examining the survival rate of the patient and pediatric kidney transplant in Iran, we examined the factors affecting the survival rate. The results of the present study can provide an acceptable estimate of the survival rate of transplanted children in Iran and will be useful for planning prevention and treatment programs.
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