Graft and Patient Survival Rates in Kidney Transplantation, and Their Associated Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Background: End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) is an irreversible impairment of kidney function that leads to permanently dependent on alternative therapies such as hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, and renal transplantation. This study aimed to systematically investigate the survival rate of patients with renal transplantation, graft, and its related factors in Iran.

Methods: This systematic review and meta-analysis drew on articles indexed in six international and one internal databases (Medline/PubMed, ProQuest, Scopus, Embase, SID, and Web of knowledge) until Nov 2020. The reporting of the present study was performed in terms of PRISMA statement. All analyzes were performed using the STATA software.

Results: Overall, 367 titles from 6 databases were evaluated of which 86 articles met the inclusion criteria. According to the random model, the graft survival rate at one, three, five, and 10 years were 92.48%, 85.08%, 79.96% and 68.15% respectively. Additionally, the patient survival rates at one, three, five, and 10 years were 91.27%, 86.46%, 81.17% and 78.15% respectively. There was a significant relationship between the age recipient and three-year graft survival rate \((P=0.021)\). Additionally, there was an inverse and significant relationship between the donor age and 10-year patient survival rate \((P=0.011)\).

Conclusion: The patient and graft survival in transplanted kidney patients is comparable with most developed countries.
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Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a public health concern which includes a range of different pathophysiological processes with abnormal renal function and progressive decrease in Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) (1, 2). Additionally, as one of the debilitating diseases with a high mortality rate, the incidence of CKD is increasing worldwide (1, 3). The term End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) is an irreversible impairment of kidney function that leads to permanently dependent on alternative therapies such as hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, and renal transplantation (4-6). The prevalence of ESRD in Iran is estimated at about 357 per million with an annual incidence of about 57 per million (7). Kidney transplantation is currently considered as the best and the most effective treatment of ESRD. In addition, kidney transplantation increases the quality of life as well as the chances of survival rate of the patients (3, 8-11).

Similar to the other parts of the world, kidney transplantation in Iran is also increasing alarmingly. A large number of ESRD patients, including the older ones previously considered unsuitable for the treatment, were undergone renal transplantation in recent years (12). To evaluate the effectiveness of kidney transplantation, the survival rate and quality of life of the patients are the two most important indicators. Hassanzadeh et al. reported the one, 3, 5, 7, and 10-year graft survival rates in shiraz is 98.3%, 96.4%, 92.5%, 90.8%, and 89.2%, respectively (13). In a study on 19 cases of kidney transplant patients in Germany, one-year graft survival rate was 94.7% (14). One-year graft survival rate was 85% in another study (15). In Taiwan and in the USA graft one-year survival rate was 92.2% (16).

Based on the discrepancy in the reported survival rates of kidney transplant patients around the world, the survival rate is affected by several influencing factors. Understanding the survival rate of kidney transplant patients in Iran along with identifying the most important influencing factors, could provide valuable information on therapeutic measures and outcomes of the transplant. One-year uncensored graft survival of patients who were ABO-incompatible was 96% versus 98% in ABO-compatible. Three-year uncensored graft survival of patients who were ABO-incompatible was 92% versus 94% in ABO-compatible. Moreover, One-year uncensored graft patient of patients who were ABO-incompatible was 98% versus 99% in ABO-compatible (17).

Up to now, no comprehensive study has been conducted on the survival rate of kidney transplant patients and the allograft in Iran. This study aimed to perform a systematic review on the published studies to estimate the survival rate of kidney transplant patients and its major related factors in Iran.

Methods

Eligibility Criteria and Information Sources

The present study is a systematic review and meta-analysis of allograft and recipient's (kidney transplantation) survival rate in Iran up to Nov 2020. The reporting of the present study was performed in terms of PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) statement (18). All observational studies (cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort) referring to the survival rate of the kidney recipients, in English and Persian languages published before Nov 2018 were included in the present study. Other review and meta-analysis studies reporting the survival rate of patients and kidney allograft with no reported sample size or confidence interval for the estimated survival rate were excluded.

This study was approved by the research Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (IR.SUMS.REC.1398.1155).

The researchers investigated six international databases including Medline/PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Web of knowledge, SID, and ProQuest.
until Nov 2020. Google Scholar was also explored to detect any grey literature. Selected keywords for searching international databases were as follows: “renal transplantation”, “kidney grafting”, “kidney transplantations”, “survival”, “survival rate”, “survival analysis”, and “Iran”. The collected data was entered into EndNote, X7 software, and duplicate articles were automatically deleted. Two researchers examined the articles independently.

**Study Selection and Data Extraction**

After removing duplicate results, the abstracts of all articles were screened for eligibility by two independent reviewers (FM and MV). Two researchers independently performed screening of the studies, extraction of the results, and evaluation of the articles. Additionally, a supervisor was appointed to make the final decision in disputed cases or lack of agreement between the two assessors. A datasheet was used to extract data from the selected articles. The data included the author's name, year of publication, study period, sample size, donor and recipient age and sex, donor type (live related, live non-related and cadaver donor) and for one, three, five, and 10-year survival rates of allograft and patients.

**Risk of Bias (Quality) Assessment**

The Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Form was employed to evaluate the quality of the selected papers. This tool comprises of three sections as following: 1) sampling (4 questions), 2) comparability (1 question) and 3) outcome (3 questions). Accordingly, based on the final scores, the studies were divided into three categories namely: good (3 or 4 scores in sampling domain and 1 or 2 scores in comparability domain and 2 or 3 scores in outcome/exposure domain), Fair (2 scores in selection domain and 1 or 2 scores in comparability domain and 2 or 3 scores in outcome/exposure domain), and Poor (0 or 1 score in selection domain or 0 score in comparability domain or 0 or 1 score in outcome/exposure domain) (19).

**Statistical Analysis**

The heterogeneity of the studies and its composition were assessed by Cochrane test (with significance level less than 0.1) and $I^2$ statistic respectively. In the case of heterogeneity, a random effect model along with the inverse-variance method were used. A fixed effect model was applied in the absence of heterogeneity. In the case of heterogeneity of the results of studies, subgroup analysis was used. All analyzes were performed using the STATA software (ver. 13). Due to the heterogeneity of the results of the included studies, meta-regression with factors such as the year of study, sample size, and donor and recipient age analysis was employed. A random effects model was used to reduce bias risk in studies (20, 21). Additionally, Egger test was used to evaluate the risk of publication bias (22). In this study index is Survival as percentage.

**Results**

**Search Results**

As the initial phase of this study, 376 articles were selected from the international databases. Subsequently, duplicate studies were excluded and 221 studies were moved into the review phase in terms of title and abstract. Following the review of the titles and abstracts, 127 articles selected for the next phase, at which the full text was examined and 86 articles were finally selected for analysis. The references of the articles were also reviewed to add relevant studies (Fig. 1).

**Characteristics of Eligible Study**

The included studies were published from 1999 to 2020 (86 were selected). Based on their geographical locations, 40 studies were conducted in Tehran, eight in Shiraz, five in Urmia, nine in Mashhad, three in Ahvaz, two in Hamadan, two in Tabriz, two in Arak, two in Kerman, three in Isfahan, three in Babol, two in Rasht, one in Central province, one in Zahedan, one in Qom and two in Kermanshah.
Quality appraisal
Overall, 52 studies had well and 34 of them had a fair quality.

Heterogeneity
Chi-square test and $I^2$ index showed a significant heterogeneity in the reported patient’s and graft’s survival rates among studies. For allograft, the heterogeneity test for one-year ($I^2=96.2\%, P<0.001$), three-year ($I^2=98.1\%, P<0.001$), five-year ($I^2=98.4\%, P<0.001$), and ten-year ($I^2=99.2\%, P<0.001$) survival rates were significant. As a result, a random effect model was used for all analyzes.

One-year patient and graft survival rates
Among the most recently published papers, 48 of them reported the one-year patient survival rate. Based on the results of the random-effect model, one-year patient survival rate was 91.27% (95%CI= 89.44% to 93.10%). Additionally, based on the results of 73 studies the one-year graft survival rate was estimated at 92.48% (95%CI=91.35% to 93.61%).
Three-year patient and graft survival rates
Of 24 selected studies, the three-year patient survival rate was 86.46% (95%CI=84.64% to 88.27%). Additionally, of 42 selected studies, the three-year graft survival rate was 85.08% (95%CI=82.18% to 87.99%).

Five-year patient and graft survival rate
Out of the selected papers, 37 reported the five-year patient survival rate. The five-year patient survival rate was 81.17% (95%CI=78.71% to 83.63%). Additionally, of 53 studies, the five-year graft survival rate was 79.96% (95%CI=77.55% to 82.38%).

10-year patient and graft survival rates
Fourteen studies reported 10-year patient survival rate. Accordingly, the gender-age adjusted 10-year patient survival rate was 78.15% (95%CI=73.58%-82.73%). Additionally, of 20 studies, the gender-age adjusted 10-year graft survival rate was 68.15% (95%CI=58.79% to 77.52%).

Meta-regression of graft survival rates
The results of meta-regression suggested no significant relationship between sample size and graft survival rate (coef = -0.001, P=0.213). However, a significant relationship were observed between the year of study publication and three-year graft survival rate (coef=0.010, P=0.003). Additionally, there was also a strong relationship between donor age and 10-year graft survival rate (coef=0.042, P=0.015).

Meta-regression of patients’ survival rate
The results of meta-regression suggested no significant relationship between sample size and patient survival rate (Coef=-0.000, P=0.342). However, a significant relationship was observed between the years of study publication and one-year patients survival rate (Coef=0.004, P=0.034).

Subgroup analysis: graft survival rate
The one and five-year graft survival rate for recipients of kidney from related living donor were 92.14% (95%CI=88.26% to 96.03%) and 77.99% (95%CI=59.36% to 96.63%) respectively. Whereas, the one and five-year graft survival rates in recipients with non-related living donors were 84.62% (95%CI=77.49% to 91.75%) and 79.87% (95%CI=74.39% to 89.65%). Moreover, the one and five-year graft survival rates in cadaver recipients were 90.41% (95%CI=86.63% to 94.19%) and 78.77% (95%CI=70.23% to 87.32%), respectively.

Publication bias
Finally, according to the results presented by a funnel plot and egger test (bias: -6.70, a 95%CI=-8.83 to -4.58, P<0.001) a significant release bias for graft survival rate was observed.

Discussion
ESRD is a widespread global problem, and the renal transplantation is the preferred treatment for patients with the condition as it improves their survival rate and quality of life when compared with hemodialysis (8, 23-26). We aimed to evaluate the patient and graft survival rate with regard to major related factors in Iran. With moving to the more recent years, the survival of patients and grafts (especially for one and three-year patient and graft survival rates) increased, suggesting a relative improvement in the success of the surgical procedures. In our study, the one-year patient and graft survival rate were 91.27% and 92.48% respectively. The results are in accordance to the report from Iranian Organ Procurement Network that reported the one-year survival rate of renal transplantation was 94.7% (27). However, a study on Greek and Albanian patients suggested higher one-year survival rates for the patient’s (100%) and grafts (93.1%) (28). Another study (29) reported considerably higher one-year survival rate of patients in live and cadaver recipients in the USA, Australia and New Zealand, Europe and Canada (98.7% and 97.0% for US, 99% and 95% for AN, 98.6% and 90.7% for Europe, 97.7% and 94.9% for Canada respectively). Similarly, the one-year survival rate of
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The five-year patient and graft survival rate was 81.17% and 79.96% respectively. In that regard, in South Asians, five-year survival rate of graft was 64% and 65% for South Asian and whites races, respectively (36). Kidney transplant patients in South Asian races had more mortality rate after five years (about 58% versus 71% for other races) (37).

On the other hand, another study reported considerably higher five-year survival rate of patients in live and cadaver recipients in the USA, Australia, New Zealand, Europe, and Canada (93.1% and 86.1% for US, 95% and 90% for AN, 94.3% and 87.1% for Europe, respectively) (29). Similarly, the five-year survival rate of graft in live and cadaver recipients in the USA, Australia and New Zealand, Europe and Canada (84.6% and 72.4% for US, 90% and 81% for AN, 86.9% and 77.8% for Europe, 90.8% and 81.4% for Canada respectively). However, the five-year survival rate of patients in the US was 77.4% (38), significantly lower survival rate compared to the present study. The discrepancy in the reports may reflect the difference in the year of conduction of the studies and characteristics of the patients or donors (39).

The present study reported that 10-year survival rate of the patients and grafts were 78.15% and 68.15%, respectively. According to the latest results of the American Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) and Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients in 2004, the survival rate of patients was 75.5% after 10 years (40). Based on our results, the 10-year survival rate of Iranian kidney transplant patients was higher than some other reports in the United States, influenced by factors such as Studies of donor type (cadaver or living donor), donor and recipient age, recipient underlying diseases especially hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, medication regimen, infections and the functioning of transplanted kidney that may affect the patients' survival rate (40-43).

There was a significant relationship between the kidney recipient's age and three-year, five-year and 10-year survival rates of the patients. The results was in agreement with another study in the USA in which the recipient's and donor's age are suggested to have a detrimental effect on long-term allograft survival rate (44). On the other hand, several studies in Brazil, Australia and Italy found no significant relationship between age of the recipient and 200 survival rate of transplantation (45-47). In contrast, another study showed a strong relationship between the Age of donor, recipient of kidney and survival rate of graft. Additionally, the recipient age group of 40 to 60 yr old had higher survival rate compared to the age group of less than 20 yr old, a result reported by several studies in Italy, United Kingdom and USA which were similar to the results of present study (47-50).

No association was reported between age and allograft survival in children and older patients due to the small size of anatomical parts of kid-
ney (51). It was also a lower survival rate of the patients and grafts that received from non-familial donor. Accordingly, the possible reason for the discrepancy in the results from different countries could be the greater rate of acute rejection events occurred when the kidney is from a non-family donor.

As with any systematic review, the present study has limitations in certain ways. The first drawback of the present study was lack of information provided by the studies with regard to gender of the recipients and donors, living status of the donors and history of kidney transplant among the patients. The missing data could affect the significant heterogeneity between studies and (to some extend) the observed difference in the reported survival rates in Iran and other countries.

**Conclusion**

With few important exceptions, the survival rates of allografts and kidney transplant patients are comparable to reports from several large centers in the world. The results of this review can provide basic evidence for the reconsideration of treatment strategies in various medical sectors. The results emphasize the need for more extensive programs for the evaluation of treatment strategies in CKD.
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