A PRELIMINARY STUDY OF LEAD DEPOSITION ON PLANT LEAVES IN TEHRAN

H. Farsam, Pharm.D.,* and N. Zand, Pharm.D.*

KEY WORDS: Lead Aerosol, Plant Leaves, Tehran

ABSTRACT

Fifty-nine samples of washed and unwashed leaves of 4 species of plants in Tehran and 24 similar samples of the suburb (as control) were analysed for Pb content.

A significant difference was seen between Pb contents of washed and unwashed urban samples, while no significant difference was observed between the control samples.

The mean Pb contents of the urban suburb samples were also singificantly different. Washing removed 40% of lead from the surface of urban samples. Lead content was highest in fall and lowest at spring time.

Results of this study showed that contamination of plant leaves with Pb aerosol in the city of Tehran is mainly a surface deposition and is due to the exhausts of the motor vehicles and low rainfall

INTRODUCTION

Exhaust products of leaded gasoline are one of the major sources of environmental contamination by lead. Plant and soil surfaces are the major sink for airborn lead in the environment and may make a contribution to dietary lead intake. Lead in soil and vegetation has been the subject of many studies from various aspects (1,3,6,10,17). Most

^{*} School of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

of these investigations deal with the effect of lead on the roadside vegetation; urban vegetation has received less attention.

This perliminary study deals with the deposition of airborn lead on plant leaves in Tehran, a city with a high traffic volume and a low rate of rainfall.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: https://doi.org/10.1009/news/10.10

The area of study was keshavarz Boulevard in the city of Tehran (capital of Iran) with a traffic flow about 200000 cars /24h. Five points were chosen and leaves were collected at a height of 2-3 meters (except for lollium FPP) in three different seasons from spring to winter.

Fourty-six sample of leaves from 4 species were collected at least 10 doys after a raining period, Thirteen of which were washed thoroughly with deionized water. Another twenty-four samples of the same species were obtained from a remote area in the suburbs of the city (control samples). Half of these samples were also washed in the same manner. All samples were dried in an air oven at 75-80 C to constant weight, ground to a fine powder and stored in tightly stoppered containers. One gram of each sample was washed in a muffle furnace at 500C for 8 hours. The washed sample were dissovle in 10 ml of concentrated nitric acid, filtered and made up to 25 ml with distilled water.

Lead content of the samples was determined by flameless atomic absorption spectrophotometry (FAAS) according to the method of Dokya et. at.(5) with a slight modificiation in CRA parmaters (8). The dithizone method (7) was used as a supportive techinque of analysis

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, product the property of the product of the product of the property of the product of th

Results obtained by the two different methods of analysis showed a good correlation, whith r=0.98, therefore the mean values obtained by the two methods were used for calculations.

Add the style and the his material of the contract of the style of the security of

The mean values of lead content of unwashed and washed samples are given in Table 1. Table 2 displays the mean lead content of unwashed urban and suburb samples in different seasons. Analysis of variance of lead in different leaf species and variation of lead with each species due to season are given in Tables 3 and 4.

The mean Pb contents of urban samples within the year were 27.33 ± 12.04 and $16.30\pm7.42~\mu g/g$ dry wt. for unwashed and washed samples respectively (Table 1), which indicates a significant difference (P< 0.05). The average Pb values for unwashed and washed samples collected from urban samples, while it had no noticeable effect in the suburb samples. This may be attributed to the surface contamination of urban leaves with the lead- containing exhaust emissions of the automobiles at the studied area, as well as to the low rate of rainfall in the city (about 320 mm/yr). Some studies have reported that about 50% of the Pb is susceptible to removal by water washing (11,13,15,16), which is approximately in agreement with the findings of this study. The difference may be due to the washing procedures and characteristics of the leaves and lead particles (2,9,16,18). As stated by Wedding (18), the Pb accumulation on leaf surfaces may cause adverse physiological effects, either by physical blocking of stomata or by disturbing plant metabolism after being adsorbed into the leaf.

The mean Pb contents of the urban and suburb samples showed a significant difference (P < 0.01), which confirms the surface deposition of lead from motor vehicles.

Lead content was highest in fall and lowest in spring. In addition, older leaves showed a higher content than the younger ones. Since the traffic flow was aproximately the same within the year, the higher lead values of the older leaves may have been due to the longer exposure to pollution. Of course, shape, size and surface texture of the leaves must also be considered as reported in some studies (2,16).

Analysis of variance of the Pb content of leaf species in different seasons showed no significant difference. Analysis of variance of the Pb content among the species indicated a significant difference, with F=6.12. No significant difference was found within the species.

The overall results of this study show that the major causes of plant contamination by Pb in Tehran are leaded gasoline (automobiles) and low rainfall. This contamination may have direct or indirect effects upon the health and hygienic standards of the environment and deteriorate the quality of human diet.

Fortuntely, the surface contamination can be completely removed by careful washing of edible crops before consumption.

Table 1: Average lead concentration (g/g dry wt.) of urban and suburb leaves.

| Leaf Species | n | n | | Mean Pb Cone | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--------------|--|--|
| | Unwashed | Washed | Unwashed | Washed | | |
| Platanus orientalis | | | | 27.7 | | |
| , 199 ₃ - 1 0° | 8 | ¹ 1 2 2 | 49.60±21.70 | 30.70±7.65 | | |
| S ^b | 3 2 | . 555 3 | 9.93±2.08 | 9.70±1.17 | | |
| Ulmus campestris | | | | | | |
| s same u . | · 8 ··· | 2 | 35.70±15.20 | 17.30±5.10 | | |
| · · S | .:3 | 3 | 10.90±2.18 | 9.30±1.15 | | |
| Lolium FPP | | | | | | |
| U | 10 | 3 | 23.90±16.05 | 16.10±13.9 | | |
| S | • | | | | | |
| Magnolia grandiflo | ora A ^c | ; | | | | |
| Table 1 | 10 | 3 | 11.70±3.85 | 9.25±2.4 | | |
| S | · · · 3 | 3 | 6.40±1.17 | 6.3±1.20 | | |
| Magnolia grandiflo | ra B ^d | | | | | |
| U. | 10 | 3 | 21.90±6.10 | 13.30±2.10 | | |
| S | 3 | 3 | 11.40±3.13 | 9.80±1.48 | | |
| Total | | | 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | | |
| U | 46 | 13 | 27.33 ± 12.04 | 16.30±7.42 | | |
| S | 12 | 12 | 9.50±2.02 | 8.79±1.25 | | |

a: Urban samples b: Suburb samples c: Young leaves d: Old leaves

Table 2: Average lead concentration ($\mu g/g$ dry wt.) of urban and suburb leaves according to season.

| Leaf species | | Mean Pb conc | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4.11 | | Spring | Summer | Autumn | | |
| Plantanus o | orientalis | | 3 miles (18) | | | |
| | $\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | 28.80±12.10 | 53.40±22.60 | 60.00±23.84 | | |
| | S^{b} | 9.85±2.11 | 10.00±2.21 | 9.95±2.17 | | |
| Ulmus cim | pestris | | | | | |
| | U | 42.82±19.81 | 31.40±16.02 | 34.70±16.30 | | |
| 10 m | S | 10.40±2.25 | 10.30±2.31 | 10.45±3.02 | | |
| Lolium FP | P | | | : | | |
| | U | 16.25 ± 10.70 | 12.70±8.70 | 38.10±15.5 | | |
| A. A | S | in the second se | enderen e <mark>k</mark> raskeren b | n de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de | | |
| Magnolia g | grandiflor | a A ^c a second | eratika wali nalio 79 | | | |
| | U | 7.40±2.70 | 13.10±3.81 | 12.20±3.70 | | |
| Section 1 | S | 6.25 ± 1.16 | 6.55±1.25 | 6.50±1.18 | | |
| Magnolia g | grindiflora | $\mathbf{a} \; \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{d}}$ | | | | |
| | \mathbf{U} | 17.60±5.30 | 23.30±6.40 | 22.00±5.80 | | |
| | S | 11.50±3.13 | 11.25±3.11 | 11.65±3.14 | | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| | U | 22.60 ± 10.12 | 24.50±11.50 | 33.40±13.02 | | |
| | S | 9.50±2.16 | 9.52±2.22 | 9.60±2.22 | | |
| | | | | | | |

a: Urban samples b: Suburb samples c: Young leaves d: Old leaves

Table 3: Analysis of variance of lead in the leaf species.

| Sources of Variati | on SS | df | MS | F |
|--------------------|---------|----|---------|------|
| Among species | 5430.99 | 4 | 1357.67 | 6.12 |
| Within species | 9089.07 | 41 | 221.68 | |

SS: Sum of Squares; df: degree of freedom; MS: Mean Square

Table 4: Analysis of variance of lead of leaf species in different seasons.

| Leaf species | Sources of | SS | df | MS | F |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|----|--------|------|
| | Variation | | | | |
| Plantanus orientalis | Aomng seasons | 1428.65 | 2 | 714.32 | 1.62 |
| | Within seasons | 2142.94 | 5 | 428.59 | |
| | Total | 3571.59 | 7 | | |
| Ulmus capestris | Among seaons | 143.16 | 2 | 71.580 | 0.25 |
| | Within seasons | 1450.58 | 5 | 290.12 | |
| | Total | 1593.74 | 7 | | |
| Lolium FPP | Among seasons | 1530.04 | 3 | 510.01 | 3.26 |
| | Within seasons | 930.56 | 6 | 155.09 | |
| F 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 H 2 | Total | 2460.60 | 9 | | |
| Magnolia grandiflora | Magnolia grandiflora Among seasons | | 3 | 22.41 | 1.65 |
| (Young leaves) | Within seasons | 81.60 | 6 | 13.60 | |
| | Total | 148.83 | 9 | | |
| Magnolia grandiflora | a Among seasons | 68.66 | 3 | 22.89 | 0.46 |
| (Old leaves) | Within seasons | 301.33 | 6 | 50.22 | |
| | Total | 369.99 | 9 | | |

SS: Sum of Squares; df: degree of freedom; MS: Mean Square

REFERENCES

- 1. Cannon, L.H. and Bowles, J.M. (1962): Contamintation of vegetation by tetraethyl lead.

 Science 137: 762-766
- Carlson, W.R.; Bazzaz, A.F. and Stukel, J.J. (1976): physiological effects, wind reentrainment and rainwash of Pb aerosol pariculate deposited on plant leaves. Environ. Sci. Technol. 10:1139-1142.
- 3. Chow, J.T. (1970): Lead accumulation in roadside soil and grass. Nature 225: 295-298.
- Dedolph, R.; Ter Haar, G.: Holtzman, R. and Lucas, H. Jr. (1970): Sources of lead in perennial ryegrass and radishes, Ibid. 4: 217-295.
- Dokiya,; Yamamoto Toda, S. and Fuwa, K. (1977): Comparison of mineral acids in wet digestion of plant materials for flame and flameless atomic absorption measurment of metals Spectros. Lett. 8: 759-769.
- Farsam, H.; Senai, GH.; Sharifi H. and Nadim, A. (1978): Lead concentration in Tehran atmosphere. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 9:433-438.
- Farsam, H.; Salari, G.H. and Nadim, A. (1982): Absorption of lead in Tehran traffic policemen. 43: 373-376.
- Goldsmith, D.C. Jr.; Scanlon, F. P. and Pirie, R.W. (1976): Lead contamination in soil and vegetation associated with highways of different traffic densities. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 16:66-70
- Heichel, H.G. and Hankin, L.J. (1976): Roadside coniferous windbreaks for vehicular lead emissions. J. Air Poll. Control. Assoc. 26:767-770.
- Lagerwerff, J.V. and Specht, A. W. (1970): Contamination of roadside soil and vegetation with Cd, Ni. Pb and Zn. Environ. Sci. Technol. 4: 583-586.
- 11. Little, P. (1972): A study of heavy metals contamination of leaf surfaces. Environ. Pollut. 5:159-163.
- 12. Little, P. and Wiffen, R.D. (1977): Emission and deposition of petrol engine exhaust Pb-I,
 Deposition of exhaust Pb to plant and soil surfaces. Atmospheric Environment 11: 437-447.
- 13. Motto, L. H.; Daines, H.R.; Chilko, M.D. and Motto, K.C. (1970): Lead in soil and plants: Its relationship to traffic volume and proximity to highways. Environ. Sci. Technol. 4: 231-238.

- Purves, D. and Mckenzei, E.J. (1969): Trace-element contamination of parklands in urban areas. J. Soil. Sci. 20: 288-292.
- Schuck, E.A. and Locke, K.J. (1970): Relationship of automotive lead particulates to certain consumer crops. Environ. Sci. Technol. 4: 324-332.
- Smith W.H. (1976): Lead contamination of the roadside ecosystem. J. Air Poll. Control. Assoc. 26: 753-766.
- 17. Ter Harr, G. (1970): Air as a source of lead in edible crops. Environ. Sci. Technol. 4: 226-229.
- Wedding, J. B.; Carlson, R. W.; Stukel, J.J. and Bazzaz, F.A. (1975): Aerosol deposition on plant leaves. Environ. Sci. Technol. 9:151-153.