PRELIMINARY NOTES ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF DDT RESISTANCE IN ANOPHELES CULICIFACIES, GILES IN BALUCHESTAN PROVINCE, SOUTHERN IRAN*

A. Zaini**

A. V. Manouchehri**

ABSTRACT Anopheles culicifacies is the vector of malaria in southeastern part of Iran, India, West Pakistan and Ceylon. In 1959 the LC50 of DDT in the Panchmahal district of Gujarat State (India) had in-

creased. DDT-resistant population of A. culicifacies have been reported from West Pakistan, Burma and Iran. After application of DDT in 1959, the density of A. culicifacies decreased sharply. The susceptibility test carried out in 1963 showed that the LC50 was 0.5%.

After DDT spraying, followed by Dieldrin, for about 10 years the density of A. culicifacies was so negligible that it was not possible to perform susceptibility tests. By April and May of 1973, the density of A. culicifacies in Saidabad, Khairabad and Hit in Baluchestan Province, Southeast of Iran, increased to about 500 per shelter. The susceptibility tests carried out showed that A. culicifacies is resistant to DDT and susceptible to Dieldrin and Malathion.

INTRODUCTION

Anopheles culicifacies is the vector of malaria in India, West Pakistan and Ceylon. It is also a vector in southeast of Iran (Manou-

^{*} This study was supported in part by the funds of the School of Public Health and Institute of Public Health Research, University of Teheran, and partly by the Public Health Research

Project of the Ministry of Health and Plan Organization.

** Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health and Institute of Public Health Research, University of Teheran, P.O. Box 1310, Teheran, Iran.

chehri and Ghiasseddin, 1959). It is distributed throughout Burma, Thailand, Indo-China, Mainland China and Bangladesh (Stone et al., 1959). In Iran, A. culicifacies is distributed mainly in the southeastern part of the country. It is found in the southern area of Fars Province, Kerman, Baluchestan and Sistan, goes north up to the southern regions of Khorassan as far as Birjand. During certain years the density of A. culicifacies rises and its distribution extends, thus it practically becomes predominant over A. stephensi (Shahgudian, 1969).

In 1959, the LC50 of DDT in the Panchmahal district of Gujrat State in India had increased to 2.2%, compared with 0.5% observed there in 1957 (Rahman, Roy and Singh, 1959). By 1961, the LC50 of DDT had increased to 4.0% in this area (Luan and Shalaby, 1961). Subsequently, DDT resistance was found in Mysore, Maharashtra (Bhatia et al., 1962), Gujrat (Shalaby, 1962, 1963) and Madhya Pradesh (Krishnamurthy and Singh, 1962; Shalaby, 1968).

In West Pakistan, DDT-resistant populations of A. culicifacies have been recorded at Gujrat, Campbelpur, Sialkot, Jhang and Multan (Brown and Pal, 1970). In Burma, DDT resistance at the intermediate level was found at Kyankse and Myingyan and full DDT resistance at Mandalay.

A. culicifacies is characteristically more irritable than all other Anophelines except the maculipennis group (Bhatia and Deobhankar, 1962).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The method used in testing is that developed by the World Health Organization for evaluating resistance in a field population of mosquitoes (WHO, 1970). Paper impregnated with DDT in Risella oil at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 and 4.0%, Malathion impregnated paper at concentrations of 0.5 3.2 and 5.0% and Dieldrin impregnated papers at concentrations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, and 4.0% were provided by WHO. For the control, paper impregnated with Risella oil alone was used.

The mosquitoes were placed in tubes with untreated paper and allowed to remain there for one hour. Those which were not active were removed from the tube. After one hour the mosquitoes were gently blown into a tube with treated paper. Exposure to the treated paper lasted one hour, after which the mosquitoes were blown back into the holding tubes with untreated paper. The mosquitoes were held in these tubes for 24 hours; then a final mortality count was made. During the holding period a pad of wet cotton wool was placed on top of the tube. The temperature

Iraman J. P. H. Autumn 1973, Vol. 2, No. 3.

and relative humidity during the test period were recorded. All mortality percents were corrected by Abott's formula (Abott, 1925). LC50's were estimated by plotting the dosage-mortality

The mosquitoes were collected from unsprayed human and animal shelters in Said Abad, Kheirabad, 25 km west of Iranshahr, and Hit, about 200 km north of Chahbahar, southeast Iran. This area has been under DDT (75% wp) for about 10 years, Dieldrin for 3 years and Malathion for the last 5 years.

RESULTS After
AND DISCUSSION decre

After the application of DDT in 1959, the density of A. culicifacies decreased sharply. Susceptibility tests carried out in 1963 showed that the LC50 of this anopheles for DDT was 0.5%.

In 1964 and 1965, Baluchestan was sprayed with Dieldrin, and from 1967 to 1973, with DDT and Malathion, 2 cycles per

In 1964 and 1965, Baluchestan was sprayed with Dieldrin, and from 1967 to 1973, with DDT and Malathion, 2 cycles per year with each insecticide. During this period of 10 years, the density of A. culicifacies was so negligible that it was not possible to perform susceptibility tests. By April and May of 1973, the density of A. culicifacies in Hit village increased to about 500 and 5 per shelter in unsprayed and sprayed dwellings respectively. Susceptibility tests carried out at Hit, Said Abad and Kheirabad showed that A. culicifacies is resistant to DDT. The mortality rate after one hour exposure and 24 hours recovery was between 39 and 43 percent. When the time of exposure was increased to 4 hours, the percentage of mortality after 24 hours recovery was 70. Susceptibility tests carried out with Dieldrin and Mala-

thion showed that A. culicifacies is susceptible to both insecticides and the LC50's were 0.055 and 0.55 respectively (Table 1 & 2).

Epidemiological investigation showed that, during 1971, the Annual Parasite Incidence (API) in Iranshahr and Chabahar was 10 and 0.4 per thousand, and during 1972, the Annual Parasite Incidence in Iranshahr and Chabahar increased to 38 and 4 per thousand respectively. It is possible that the appearance of DDT resistance had an effect on the increase of positive cases in these areas.

REFERENCES

Abbott, W.W. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Ent. 13:265-267.

Bhatia, S.C. and Deobhankar, R.B. (1962). Irritability of susceptible and resistant field populations of Anopheles culicifacies

in Maharashtra State, India. WHO/Mal/362; WHO/Vector Control/19.

Brown, A.W.A. and Pal, R. (1970). Insecticide resistance in arthropods. WHO/Monograph Ser. No. 38, pp. 137-143.

- Luen, S.C. and Shalaby, A.M. (1961). Preliminary note on the development of DDT resistance in A. culicifacies Giles in Panchmahals District of Gujerat State (formerly part of Bombay State), India. WHO/Mal/302; WHO/Inscecticides/123.
- Manouchehri, A.V. and Ghiasseddin, M. (1959). Monthly Report of the Institute of Malariology and Parasitology, Pub. No. 699, Teheran, Iran.
- Rahman, J., Roy, M.L. and Singh K. (1959). Development of increased tolerance to DDT in A. culicifacies in the Panchmahals district of Bombay State, India. Indian J. Mal. 13: 125-130.
- Shalaby, A.M. (1962). Studies on the age composition of Anopheles culicifacies Giles at different phases of the development of resistance to DDT in Panchmahals District of Gujarat State, India. WHO/Mal/348.
- Shalaby, A.M. (1963). Observations on some responses of A. culicifacies Giles to DDT in an experimental hut in Gujarat State, India. WHO/Mal/424.
- Shalaby, A.M. (1968). Susceptibility studies on A. culicifacies with DDT and Dieldrin in Gujarat State, India. J. Econ. Ent. 61:533-541.
- Shahgudian, E. (1969). Biological and bionomic features of malaria vectors in Iran and their role and importance. *I.P.H.R.* No. 1667, Teheran, Iran.
- Stone, A., Knight, K.L. and Stracker, H. (1959). A synoptic catalog of the mosquitoes of the world. *Tomas Say Foundation*, 6 Washington.
- World Health Organization. (1970). Insecticide resistance and vector control. 17th Report of the Expert Committee on Insecticides. Tech. Rep. Ser. No. 443.

Results of DDT susceptibility tests on A. culicifacies adults in Baluchestan Province, SE Iran, 1959-1973

TABLE 1:

Area &	+	Spraying	Exposure	% Mortality after 24 hours recovery	ality	after	24 hou	rs rec	overy	
Location	3 3 3	Cycles	(hrs.)	Cont.	0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.4	1050
Dadin, Zabol	10/59	not sprayed	Ħ	7 (13)	1	94	100	100 (45)	-	
Daman & Piratch, Iranshahr	2//63		7-1	2.7	30 (53)	(49) 45	1	96 (71)	100	0.55
Khayrabad & Kootitch Iranshahr	E		ਜ :	0 (12)	!		;	100 (3)	100	ŀ
Khayrabad, Iranshahr	4/73	22 X DDT 6 X DL 8 X Mal	7-1	0 (33)	ľ	0 (14)	0 (13)	8.8 (34)	38.7	7 ^
Said Abad, Iranshahr	=	=	H	0 (26)	Į Į	0 (16)	0 (14)	4.7	46.1 (26)	* <
Hit, Nick-Shahr	5/73	23 X DDT 6 X DL 8 X Mal		2.1 (93)	;	-	13.6 (95)	25.2 (91)		* ^
Hit, Nick-Shahr	-	=	4	(91)	i i	!	ŀ	Į į	70.1	
			7		1	1	_			

Figures in parentheses represent the numbers of mosquitoes tested at each concentration.

Mal = Malathion

DL = Dieldrin

TABLE 2: Results of Dieldrin susceptibility tests on A. culicifacies adults

in	
in Baluchestan Province, SE Iran, 19	
Province,	
SE	
Iran,	
1963-19	!
73	
l	•

)))),		+	•	•	•						}	
	L	0.55	100	100 (88)	46.9 (83)	0 (86)	1-7	DDT DL Mal	23 X DDT 6 X DL 8 X Mal	5/73	Hit, Nick-Shahr	Hit, Nick		
	1	100	5.0	3.2	0.5	Cont.	(hrs.)			Date	Location	Ļć		
		1050	hrs.	· "	% Mort. after 24	% Mor	Exposure		Spraying	1	Area &	âg vại		
	١				1973	SE Iran, 1973		in Baluchestan Province,	uchesta	n Bal	μ.			
	ılts	s adv	cifacies adults	cul i	on A.	tests	tibility	suscep	lathion	of Ma	Results of Malathion susceptibility tests on A.		TABLE 3:	
	100	100	91.2 (91) (9	 		1	0 (85)	μ	or L	23 X DDT 6 X DL 8 X Mal	5/73	Hit, Nick-Shahr 5/73	
0.05	l l	! !	100	100	100 (42)		39 98 (36) (44)	2 (47)	-		4× DET	7/63	Daman & Pirateh, Iranshahr	
	4.0	1.6	0.8	0.4	O 2	0.1	0.05	Cont.	(hrs.)		Cycles	20.00	Location	
LC5(ery	recov	hours	ter 24	ity af	% Nortality after 24 hours recovery		Exposure		Spraying	+	Area &	

Mal = Malathion Figures in parentheses represent the numbers of mosquitoes tested at each DL = Dieldrin concentration.

THE MAP SHOWING SURVEYED ARIA IN BALUCHESTAN

