Original Article





Association of Genetic Polymorphisms in *GSTP1, GSTM1*, and *GSTT1* Genes with Vesicoureteral Reflux Susceptibility in the Children of Southeast Iran

Sima SHAHROKHZADEH¹, Azam SOLEIMANI², *Dor-Mohammad KORDI-TAMANDANI¹, Mohammad Hossein SANGTARASH¹, Omid NEJATI³, Mohsen TAHERI⁴

Department of Biology, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran
 Legal Medicine Research Center, Legal Medicine Organization, Tehran, Iran
 Department of Paramedics, Mashhad Medical Sciences Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran
 Genetics of Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

*Corresponding Author: Email: dor_kordi@science.usb.ac.ir

(Received 18 Jan 2019; accepted 14 Mar 2019)

Abstract

Background: Vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) disease is the most common type of urinary tract anomalies in children. Genetic risk factors may be associated with the etiology of VUR. The role of the Glutathione S-transferases (*GSTs*) as multifunctional enzymes is cellular oxidative stress handling. This is the first study aimed at evaluating the relative risk of *GSTP1*, *GSTM1*, and *GSTT1* polymorphisms in VUR susceptibility in children and provides new important insights into the genetics of affected children.

Methods: The study was done in 2013 in Sistan and Baluchestan University, eastern Iran. Genotyping of three *GSTP1, GSTM1,* and *GSTT1* genes were determined using the multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay in 216 reactions for 72 VUR children and 312 reactions for 104 healthy controls.

Results: The presence of *GSTT1* deletion was associated with high risk of VUR in children, whereas *GSTP1* and *GSTM1* genotypes did not show the same effect. Furthermore, the combination of *GSTT1/GSTM1* and *GSTT1/GSTP1* genotypes showed a significant influence on lower risk of VUR in children.

Conclusion: Deletion of *GSTT1* functional gene is a genetic risk factor causing VUR in children. Interestingly, the combination of *GSTM1* and *GSTP1* null genotypes with *GSTT1* has shown a protective role against risk of *GSTT1* deletion.

Keywords: Vesicoureteral reflux; Genetic susceptibility; Glutathione S-transferase; Genetic polymorphisms

Introduction

Vesicoureteral reflux (*VUR*) disease is an abnormal condition in which urine retrogrades from the bladder into the ureters and kidneys_(1). It is the most common congenital urological anomaly in children and may be observed in two forms like primary and secondary (1). The primary VUR

disease has been reported in 1%-2% of the pediatric population and 30%-40% of children with urinary tract infections (1-5). The secondary condition is due to high blood pressure factors in the bladder, such as neurogenic bladder and obstructive factors (1). The outbreak of VUR in 27%- 51% of siblings and 66% of off springs of known VUR patients suggests that VUR is often hereditary (6-9). VUR is considered to be a complex disease with different patterns of inheritance such as autosomal dominant with incomplete penetrance (10, 11), autosomal recessive (12) X-linked (13), and polygenic (14).

In the pathogenesis of VUR, several genes play a pivotal role (15). Here, we will focus our attention on GSTs gene polymorphisms. GSTs are members of a multigene family of metabolic enzymes divided into four major subfamilies designated as GSTa (GSTA1), GSTµ (GSTM1), GSTθ (GSTT1) and GST π (GSTP1). These enzymes as cell housekeepers protect cells against electrophiles and oxidative stressors in the environment by detoxifying a wide variety of potentially toxic and carcinogenic electrophiles (16,17). GSTP1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genes are located on chromosomes 11q13, 1p13.3 and 22q11.2 respectively (18). In the GSTP1, exon 5 is rs1695 polymorphism with an $A \rightarrow G$ transition at nucleotide 313, leads to replacement of valine for isoleucine (19). The GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotypes are referred to as deletions in the sequence of these genes that caused by homologous recombination of a number of repeats spanning around them (20). It was identified that detoxification effects modified by GSTs polymorphism possibly can aggravate the susceptibility to diseases (18,21). The magnitude of the influences of GST genes polymorphism distribution on various diseases has been extensively studied (22-24).

Our goal was to assess the influence of GST genes polymorphism on VUR susceptibility in the Iranian children.

Material and Methods

Subjects

In February, 2013, a case control study was conducted on 176 samples, including 72 children with VUR disease diagnosed at different stages of disease progression and 104 healthy children as a control group. Three *GSTP1*, *GSTM1*, and *GSTT1* polymorphisms were evaluated in patients and healthy subjects. 216 reactions were done for patients and 312 for healthy subjects. The group of control were children who did not have any history of VUR and urinary tract diseases.

The current study was a student thesis. The parents of the children entered the study with informed consent and voluntary participation of the children. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Sistan and Baluchestan as per proposal and protocol of study code 2011.7170.

Genomic DNA Extraction and PCR Mix Preparation

DNA from the whole blood was extracted by the salting-out method described by Miller et al (25). Concentration and purity of DNA were determined by DNA electrophoresis and spectrophotometer. Primers used in reactions of PCR were selected according to the previous study (26) then verified using database of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (dbSNP 129; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/) and BLAST website (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The PCR mixtures of GSTM1, GSTT1 and, GSTP1 genes were prepared in volumes of 20 µl containing 10 µl master mix PCR (Parstous 1x.Iran), 100 ng genomic DNA, and 1 µl (10 pM) of each forward and reverse primers.

GSTP1 Polymorphism

Tetra primer amplification refractory mutation system– polymerase chain reaction (T-ARMS-PCR) used for amplifying the region that comprises of 467bp fragment of the *GSTP1* gene polymorphism with two non-allele-specific primers as the outer primers (Table 1). Two bands of 233 bp and 290 bp were observed with two allele-specific primers as the inner primers (Table 1). PCR was performed in a total volume of 20 μ l containing 10 μ l master mix PCR (Parstous 1x.Iran), 100 ng genomic DNA, 1 μ l (10 pM) of each primers (inner and outer primers). PCR program started at 94 °C initial denaturation temperature for 5 min followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C denaturation temperature for 40 sec, 60 °C annealing temperature for 30 sec, 72 °C extension temperature for 30 sec, and 72 °C as final

extension temperature for 10 min. Finally, amplification products separated by loading in 2% agarose gel electrophoresis stained by green viewer.

Table 1: The Features of Primers used to Amplify the GSTP1, GSTT1, and GSTM1 Genes Polymorphism

Genes	Sequence	Fragment length (bp)	
GSTP1 - exF	5'-CAGGTGTCAGGTGAGCTCTGAGCACC-3'	467	
GSTP1 - exR	5'-ATAAGGGTGCAGGTTGTGTCTTGTCCCA-3'		
GSTP1 -inF	5'-CGTGGAGGACCTCCGCTGCAAATCCA-3'	233 A allele	
GSTP1 -inR	5'-CTCACATAGTTGGTGTAGATGAGGGATAC-3'	290 G allele	
<i>GSTT1-</i> F	5'- TTCTGCTTTATGGTGGGGTC-3'	542	
<i>GSTT1-</i> R	5'- GTGATGTTCCCTGTTTTCCT-3'		
<i>GSTM1-</i> F	5'-GCTGCCCTACTTGATTGATG-3'	325	
GSTM1-R	5'-CCCCAAATCCAAACTCTGTC-3'		

GSTT1 and GSTM1 Polymorphisms

In cases with no deletion in GSTM1 and GSTT1 genes polymorphism, PCR products with 542bp and 325bp bands were assigned as GSTM1 and GSTT1 (present allele) respectively by a conventional PCR reaction. However, in cases with deletion, amplification was not performed thus no band was observed (homozygous null genotypes). The PCR program of GSTT1 gene was set at 94 °C for 5 min and then followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C as initial denaturation temperature for 60 sec, 59 °C annealing temperature for 60 sec, 72 °C extension temperatures for 40 sec, and the GSTM1 gene was set at 94 °C for 5 min and then followed by 25 cycles at 95 °C as initial denaturation temperature for 20 sec, 60 °C annealing temperature for 30 sec, 72 °C extension temperatures for 30 sec, and 72 °C final extension temperature for 10 min. Finally, amplification products loaded in 2% agarose gels stained by green viewer.

Statistical Methods

The collected data from *GSTP1*, *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* genotypes were processed with the statistical analysis software SPSS (ver.16, Chicago, IL, USA). Distribution of allele frequencies and genotypes of *GSTP*, *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* were estimated by Chi-square and Fischer's exact t-test in children with VUR and healthy controls.

Moreover, statistical comparisons were calculated with Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) between two groups. The p values was ≥ 0.05 regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Subject's Data and Genotyping

Average age and weight of all subjects with VUR were 2.51 \pm 2.89 yr and 11.56 \pm 6 kg, respectively. The average age and weight of selected healthy children were 2.79 \pm 5.9 year and 11.23 \pm 5.9 kg. Distribution frequency of polymorphisms studied were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The analysis has been conducted according to the most frequent genotypes as a reference. The desired fragments of PCR products for *GSTP1*, *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* genotypes were revealed after electrophoresis.

GSTP1 Gene Polymorphism and VUR Patient Risk in Children

To analyze the *GSTP1*, the AA genotype was considered as reference. When the other two genotypes AG and GG were compared with the reference genotype, it appeared that there was not a significant difference for *GSTP1* gene between A and G allele frequencies in the children with VUR and healthy group (Table 2).

GSTP1	Cases, n(%)	Controls, n(%)	OR	CI(95%)	P value
AA genotype	26(36.11)	37(35.57)	-	-	Ref
AG genotype	41(56.94)	60(57.69)	0.97	0.49 - 1.94	1.00
GG genotype	5(6.94)	7(6.73)	1.02	0.23 - 4.20	1.00
AG+GG genotypes	46(63.88)	67(64.42)	0.98	0.50 - 1.92	1.00
A Allele	93(0.65)	134(0.64)	-	-	Ref
G Allele	51(0.35)	74(0.36)	1	0.64-1.57	1.00

Table 2: GSTP1 Gene Genotypes Frequency in Children with VUR Disease(72) and Control Group(104)

GSTT1 Gene Polymorphism and VUR disease risk in children

As seen in Table 3, a statistically significant difference was found between the deletion of GSTT1 gene polymorphism in children affected by VUR disease and healthy children group (*P*- value=0.004). With odds ratio higher than one, a correlation was found between the *GSTT1* Null genotype and increased risk of VUR disease occurrence in children (OR 3.14, CI 1.4387 – 6.8745) (Table 3).

Table 3: Frequency of GSTT1 and	d GSTM1 Genes Genotypes in Children with VUR Disease and Co	ontrol Group
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Γ	r

GENES	Alleles	Case, n(%)	Controls, n(%)	OR (CI(95%))	P-value
GSTT1	Present	62(86.11)	69(66.35)	_	Ref
	Null	10(13.89)	35(33.65)	3.14(1.43-6.87)	0.004
GSTM1	Present	35(48.61)	53(50.96)	-	Ref
	Null	37(51.39)	51(49.4)	1.09(0.60-2.00)	0.887

Present=Non Deletion, Null=Deletion/ Homozygous Null Genotypes

GSTM1 Gene Polymorphism and VUR Risk in Children

No statistically significant correlation was found in children affected by VUR *compared* to *controls*, when null allele genotype was considered as reference (Table 3).

GSTM1 and GSTT1 Combined Genotypes in Children with VUR Disease

The combination of GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotypes showed a significant correlation with lower risk of VUR when it compared to GSTM1 present/GSTT1 present genotype (*P*-value=0.023, OR= 0.25, CI 0.06–0.89) (Table 4).

GSTM1 and GSTP1 Combined Genotypes and VUR Disease in Children

GSTT1 and *GSTP1* combined genotypes did not reveal a meaningful relationship with risk of VUR in children (Table 4).

GSTT1 and GSTP1 Combined Genotypes and VUR Disease in Children

GSTP1 AG/*GSTT1* null combined genotypes compared to *GSTP1* AA/*GSTT1* present genotypes showed a significant correlation with lower risk of VUR in children (*P*-value=0.048, OR 0.00, CI 0.00–2.72) (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, the *GSTT1* gene deletion in children with the VUR disease is significantly higher than those in control group. Therefore, there is an increased risk of VUR disease in children with *GSTT1* null genotype. Other studies have shown the deletion of *GSTT1* gene does have a close association with the Brazilian acute promyelocytic leukemia and psoriasis in North India.

GSTs genotypes	Cases, n(%)	Controls,	OR	CI(95%)	P value
		n(%)			
AA GSTP1/Present GSTM1	12(16.66)	19(18.27)	-	-	Ref
AG GSTP1/Present GSTM1	18(25)	29(27.88)	0.98	0.35 - 2.79	1.00
GG GSTP1/Present GSTM1	5(6.94)	5(4.81)	1.57	0.29 - 8.47	0.71
AA GSTP1/ Null GSTM1	14(19.44)	18(17.31)	1.23	0.40 - 3.80	0.80
AG GSTP1/ Null GSTM1	23(31.94)	31(29.81)	1.17	0.44 - 3.22	0.82
GG GSTP1/ Null GSTM1	0(0)	2(1.92)	0.00	0.00 - 9.39	0.52
AA GSTP1/ Present GSTT1	20(27.78)	20(19.23)	-	-	Ref
AG GSTP1/ Present GSTT1	37(51.39)	45(43.27)	0.82	0.36 - 1.88	0.70
GG GSTP1/ Present GSTT1	5(6.94)	4(3.85)	1.24	0.23 - 7.25	1.00
AA <i>GSTP1</i> /Null <i>GSTT</i> 1	6(8.33)	17(16.35)	0.36	0.10 - 1.21	0.11
AG GSTP1/ Null GSTT1	4(5.56)	15(14.42)	0.27	0.056 - 1.06	0.048
GG GSTP1/ Null GSTT1	0(0)	3(2.88)	0.00	0.00 - 2.72	0.24
GSTT1 Present /Present	31(43.06)	35(33.65)	-	-	Ref
GSTM1		~ /			
GSTT1 Present / Null GSTM1	31(43.06)	34(32.69)	1.03	0.49 - 2.16	1.00
GSTT1 Null / Present GSTM1	4(5.55)	18(17.31)	0.25	0.06 - 0.89	0.023
GSTT1 Null / Null GSTM1	6(8.33)	17(16.35)	0.40	0.12 - 1.24	0.092

 Table 4: Combination of Genotypes of GSTP1 and GSTM1/GSTT1 Polymorphisms and Vesicoureteral Reflux

 Susceptibility in Children with VUR Disease and Control Group

Present=Non Deletion, Null=Deletion/ Homozygous Null Genotype

In addition, the *GSTM1/GSTT1* null genotypes are related to increased susceptibility to acute promyelocytic leukemia (27,28).

In this study, the *GSTP1* and *GSTM1* genotypes does not indicate a significant risk of increased susceptibility to VUR disease. But there is a significant correlation between reduced risk of the VUR disease and a combination of *GSTM1* present and *GSTT1* null polymorphism. Furthermore, combination of *GSTP1* AG/*GSTT1* null significantly reduces the risk of VUR disease in children. *GSTM1* present and *GSTP1* AG genotypes have a very strong compensating effect on the deletion of *GSTT1* gene.

There are other researches on *GSTs* genes. For example, in one study, *GSTM1*-null and *GSTP1* Val allele genotypes, were found to increase the risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver in the Iranian population (26). A significant association was found between *GSTM1* null genotype and *GSTT1* gene polymorphism in inflammatory bowel diseases (29). Another study discovered *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null genotype are associated with male infertility (30). A research result reported a significant relationship between *GSTT1* null polymorphism and chronic myeloid leukemia (31). By contrast, *GSTP1* Val is associated with the decreased risk of premalignant lesions in another study (32). A combination of *GSTM1* present and *GSTT1* null genotypes have a protective role against susceptibility to chronic myeloid leukemia (31). *GSTP1*Val allele reduces the risk for premalignant and endoscopic gastric lesions, whereas *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null genotypes increases it. (32). *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null genotypes increases it. (32). *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null polymorphisms are associated with risk factors causing the Asian breast cancer and also *GSTP1* Val105Ile (rs1695) polymorphism is a risk factor for Caucasians breast cancer (33).

Carriers of *GSTP1*, *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* polymorphisms tend to show a supportive effect for detoxification activity of *GST*. Thus, these polymorphisms activate a defense response against toxic metabolites. Deleting genes of *GST* could decrease detoxification of harmful electrophiles associated with *GST* activity and DNA stability, which results in susceptibility to various diseases (22-24). There are contradictory results in the

studies on the effect of GSTs polymorphism on Atherosclerosis due to demographic diversity of the studied population (34). GSTs cellular detoxification activities involve in inflammatory processes, cellular differentiation and signaling pathways (35-37). Studies performed in past years have revealed that oxidative stress worsens diseases caused by inflammatory response (38,39). Studies demonstrated GSTs enzyme activities always depend on their genotype. Therefore, a specific genotype of GST genes can lead to reduced enzymatic activity (40,41).

The goal of VUR treatment is to reduce urinary tract infection, inflammation, kidney scars control and other complications caused by this abnormality in children. Combination of various deletions lead to pharmacology, toxicology and hereditary differences which theoretically increases the risk of various diseases (42,43). Thus, *GST*s genes may prove effective in managing VUR infection and scar prevention.

Conclusion

This study suggests a correlation between deletion of *GSTT1* gene and increased risk of VUR disease in children. However, *GSTP1* Ile/Val and *GSTM1* act in a preventative role against susceptibility to VUR disease, given deletion of *GSTT1* gene.

Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all subjects who voluntary participated in the study.

Conflicts of interest

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Cooper CS (2009). Diagnosis and management of vesicoureteral reflux in children. Nat Rev Urol, 6(9): 481-9.
- Puri P, Gosemann J-H, Darlow J, Barton DE (2011). Genetics of vesicoureteral reflux. Nat Rev Urol, 8(10):539-52.
- Cooper CS, Chung BI, Kirsch AJ, Canning DA, Snyder HM (2000). The outcome of stopping prophylactic antibiotics in older children with vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol, 163(1):269-72.
- 4. Garin EH, Olavarria F, Nieto VG et al (2006). Clinical significance of primary vesicoureteral reflux and urinary antibiotic prophylaxis after acute pyelonephritis: a multicenter, randomized, controlled study. *Pediatrics*, 117(3):626-632.
- Nagler EV, Williams G, Hodson EM, Craig JC (2011). Interventions for primary vesicoureteric reflux. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, CD001532.
- Giannotti G, Menezes M, Hunziker M, Puri P (2011). Sibling vesicoureteral reflux in twins. *Pediatr Surg Int*, 27(5):513-515.
- Parekh DJ, Pope JC, Adams MC, Brock JW (2002). Outcome of sibling vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol, 167(1):283-4.
- Pirker ME, Colhoun E, Puri P (2006). Renal scarring in familial vesicoureteral reflux: is prevention possible? J Urol, 176: 1842-1846.
- Noe H, Wyatt R, Peeden Jr J, Rivas M (1992). The transmission of vesicoureteral reflux from parent to child. J Urol, 148(6):1869-71.
- van Eerde AM, Koeleman BP, van de Kamp JM et al (2007). Linkage study of 14 candidate genes and loci in four large Dutch families with vesico-ureteral reflux. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 22(8):1129-33.
- Sanna-Cherchi S, Reese A, Hensle T et al (2005). Familial vesicoureteral reflux: testing replication of linkage in seven new multigenerational kindreds. J Am Soc Nephrol, 16(6):1781-7.
- Weng PL, Sanna-Cherchi S, Hensle T et al (2009). A recessive gene for primary vesicoureteral reflux maps to chromosome 12p11-q13. J Am Soc Nephrol, 20(7):1633-40.
- Naseri M, Ghiggeri GM, Caridi G, Abbaszadegan MR (2010). Five cases of severe vesicoureteric reflux in a family with an X-linked compatible trait. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 25(2):349-52.

- 14. de Vargas A, Evans K, Ransley P et al (1978). A family study of vesicoureteric reflux. *J Med Genet*, 15(2):85-96
- Nino F, Ilari M, Noviello C et al (2016). Genetics of vesicoureteral reflux. *Curr Genomics*, 17(1): 70-79.
- Mannervik B (1985). The isoenzymes of glutathione transferase. Adv Enzymol Relat Areas Mol Biol, 57:357-417.
- 17. Mannervik B , Awasthi Y C , Board P G et al (1992). Nomenclature for human glutathione transferases. *Biochem J*, 282(Pt 1): 305–306.
- Hernandez EP, Kusakisako K, Talactac MR et al (2018). Glutathione S-transferases play a role in the detoxification of flumethrin and chlorpyrifos in Haemaphysalis longicornis. *Parasit Vectors*, 11(1):460.
- Mitrunen K, Jourenkova N, Kataja V et al (2001). Glutathione S-transferase M1, M3, P1, and T1 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, 10(3): 229-36.
- 20. Hur SE, Lee JY, Moon HS, Chung HW (2005). Polymorphisms of the genes encoding the GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 in Korean women: no association with endometriosis. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 11(1): 15-19.
- Ma L, Zhang Y, Meng Q, Shi F, Liu J, Li Y (2018). Molecular cloning, identification of GSTs family in sunflower and their regulatory roles in biotic and abiotic stress. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*, 34(8):109.
- Batista LC, Ruiz-Cintra MT, Lima MF, Marqui AB (2017). No association between glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to endometriosis. J. Bras. Jornal Brasileiro de Patologia e Medicina Laboratorial,1678-4774.
- Aly DG, Salem SA, Amr KS, El-Hamid MF (2018). A study of the association of glutathione S-transferase M1/T1 polymorphisms with susceptibility to vitiligo in Egyptian patients. *An Bras Dermatol*, 93(1): 54-58.
- Sengupta D, Guha U, Bhattacharjee S, Sengupta M (2017). Association of 12 polymorphic variants conferring genetic risk to lung cancer in Indian population: An extensive metaanalysis. *Environ Mol Mutagen*, 58(9): 688-700.
- 25. Miller S, Dykes D, Polesky H(1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res*,

16(3):1215.

- Hashemi M, Hanafi Bojd H, Eskandari Nasab E et al (2012). Association of genetic polymorphisms of glutathione-S-transferase genes (GSTT1, GSTM1, and GSTP1) and susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Zahedan, Southeast Iran. DNA Cell Biol, 31(5):672-7.
- Souza CL, Barbosa CG, Moura Neto JPd et al (2008). Polymorphisms in the glutathione Stransferase theta and mu genes and susceptibility to myeloid leukemia in Brazilian patients. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 1678-4685.
- Srivastava DS, Jain VK, Verma P, Yadav JP (2018). Polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genes and susceptibility to psoriasis disease: A study from North India. *Indian J Dermatol Venerol Leprol*, 84(1): 39-44.
- 29. Moini M, Saadat M, Saadat H, Esmailnejad A, Safarpour A (2017). Association Study of Glutathione S-transferases Gene Polymorphisms (GSTM1 and GSTT1) with Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn's Disease in the South of Iran. *Adv Biomed Res*, 6;6:67.
- Safarinejad MR, Dadkhah F, Asgari MA, Hosseini SY, Kolahi AA, Iran-Pour E (2012). Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms (GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1) and male factor infertility risk: a pooled analysis of studies. Ural J, 9(3):541-8.
- Kassogue Y, Dehbi H, Quachouh M, Quessar A, Benchekroun S, Nadifi S (2015). Association of glutathione S-transferase (GSTM1 and GSTT1) genes with chronic myeloid leukemia. *SpringerPlus*, 4(1):210.
- 32. Negovan A, Iancu M, Moldovan V, Mocan S, Banescu C (2017). The Interaction between GSTT1, GSTM1, and GSTP1 Ile105Val gene polymorphisms and environmental risk factors in premalignant gastric lesions risk. *Biomed Res Int*, 2017:7365080.
- Song Z, Shao C, Feng C, Lu Y, Gao Y, Dong C (2016). Association of glutathione Stransferase T1, M1, and P1 polymorphisms in the breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*, 12:763-9.
- Grubisa I, Otasevic P, Vucinic N, Milicic B, Jozic T, Krstic S, Milasin J (2018). Combined GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotypes are strong risk factors for atherogenesis in a Ser-

bian population. Genet Mol Biol, 41(1): 35-40.

- Uddin MMN, Ahmed MU, SafiquIIslam M et al (2014). Genetic polymorphisms of GSTM1, GSTP1 and GSTT1 genes and lung cancer susceptibility in the Bangladeshi population. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed*, 4(12):982-9.
- 36. Chen BY, Chen CH, Chuang YC et al (2016). Schoolchildren's antioxidation genotypes are susceptible factors for reduced lung function and airway inflammation caused by air pollution. *Emiron Res*, 149: 145-150.
- Aliomrani M, Sahraian MA, Shirkhanloo H et al (2017). Correlation between heavy metal exposure and GSTM1 polymorphism in Iranian multiple sclerosis patients. *Neurol Sci*, 38(7): 1271-1278.
- Martins JV, Rodrigues DA, Silva KS et al (2017). Molecular analysis of the GSTT1 gene polymorphism in patients with clinical manifestation of atherosclerosis. *Genet Mol Res*, 6;16(3).
- 39. Laborde E (2010). Glutathione transferases as mediators of signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation and cell death. *Cell Death Dif*-

fer, 17(9):1373-80.

- Craft S, Ekena J, Sacco J, Luethcke K, Trepanier L (2017). "A 6-bp Deletion Variant in a Novel Canine Glutathione-S-Transferase Gene (GSTT 5) Leads to Loss of Enzyme Function. J Vet Intern Med ,31(6): 1833-1840.
- Craft S, Ekena J, Mayer B et al (2018). Characterization of a low expression haplotype in canine glutathione S-transferase (GSTT1) and its prevalence in golden retrievers. *Vet Comp Oncol*, 16(1): E61-E67.
- 42. Seidegård J, Vorachek WR, Pero RW, Pearson WR (1988). Hereditary differences in the expression of the human glutathione transferase active on trans-stilbene oxide are due to a gene deletion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 85(19): 7293–7297.
- Bolt H, Thier R (2006). Relevance of the deletion polymorphisms of the glutathione Stransferases GSTT1 and GSTM1 in pharmacology and toxicology. *Curr Drug Metab*, 7(6):613-28.