



Patterns of Pre-pregnancy Care Usage among Reproductive Age Women in Kedah, Malaysia

Rozaimah ABU TALIB¹, *Idayu Badilla IDRIS², Rosnah SUTAN², Norizan AHMAD³, Norehan ABU BAKAR⁴

1. Kerian Health District, Parit Buntar, Perak, Malaysia

2. Dept. of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

3. Family Health Development Division, Health Department of Kedah, Alor Star, Kedah, Malaysia

4. Bandar Baharu District Health Office, Kedah, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author: Email: idayubadilla.idris@ukm.edu.my

(Received 23 Nov 2017; accepted 10 Jan 2018)

Abstract

Background: This cross-sectional was aimed to assess the prevalence of pre-pregnancy care services usage and its determinant factors among women of reproductive age in Kedah, Malaysia.

Methods: Overall, 1347 respondents who attended 24 government health clinics, were chosen using systematic multistage random sampling. A validated self-administered questionnaire which consisted of sections including socio-demographic characteristics, social support, knowledge on pre-pregnancy care, perception on risk of pregnancy, health status, as well as intention and awareness on pre-pregnancy care services were distributed.

Results: The prevalence of utilization of pre-pregnancy care services was still low i.e. 44.0%. Bivariate and multivariate analysis showed consistent significant level between all factors and pre-pregnancy care usage except for family planning practice. The factors that showed significant difference with the usage of pre-pregnancy care services were age of more than 35 ($P<0.001$), high education level ($P<0.001$), non-working mothers ($P<0.001$), multipara ($P=0.001$), awareness on the existence of pre-pregnancy care services in government health facilities ($P<0.001$), intention to use the services ($P=0.0030$), having medical illness ($P=0.005$), having social support ($P=0.001$), high knowledge ($P<0.001$), and positive perception ($P<0.001$).

Conclusion: Low usage of pre-pregnancy care services can be improved through health screening on reproductive-aged women with positive determinant factors at the triage level in integrated clinics. Information and knowledge on pre-pregnancy services should be disseminated among community members through various means including roadshows and pre-wedding workshops.

Keywords: Pre-pregnancy care services, Usage, Determinant

Introduction

Today, the continued increase in the burden of maternal and infant mortality has become a public health concern globally. Worldwide, over 350000 women of reproductive age die each year from complications of pregnancy and during delivery, while more than 15 million women suffer from long-term illnesses or disability (1). The risk of unfavorable pregnancy outcomes is higher in developing and less developed countries compared to

developed countries (2).

In addition to the burden of maternal mortality and morbidity rate which showed increasing trend in developing and less developed countries, globally, in which each year about 3.6 million newborns die within the first four weeks after birth (3). Similarly, maternal health complications contributed to at least 1.5 million neonatal deaths in the first week of delivery and 1.4 million fetuses die in

utero or termed as "stillborn babies" (1). World-wide, among the main causes of neonatal deaths were premature births, low birth weight babies and babies with congenital abnormalities (4). Underweight mothers and maternal complications also lead to a high risk of infant mortality (3).

In Malaysia, although the mortality rate among women of reproductive ages has reduced over the years, this reduction has been almost stagnant for the past ten years (27.9 per 100000 live birth in 2005 to 25.2 per 100000 live birth in 2013) (5). The fact that the fifth Millennium Development Goal (MDG 5) which had not fully achieved its target especially in developing and less developed countries, should be given serious attention. At present, the new Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) has been introduced mainly with the aim to reduce poverty as well as to overcome inequality and injustice. In order to achieve the broader aim of SDG, several measures need to be undertaken. One of the strategies includes a proper implementation of pre-pregnancy care (PPC) services for the reproductive age group of women. Besides, intervention before pregnancy can improve the pregnancy outcome for both babies and mothers (6). Center of Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC) 2006 defines pre-pregnancy care as "a set of intervention that aims to identify and modify biomedical risk, behavioral and social risks to a women's health through prevention and treatment" (7-8). Pre-pregnancy care services in the state of Kedah, Malaysia is one of the strategies to reduce maternal deaths caused by chronic diseases as stated in the plan of action to achieve the fifth Millennium Development Goal in this state (9). As early as in the 80s, pre-pregnancy care can reduce the incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as major defects in diabetic patients (10). Today, there are sufficient scientific evidence to prove that these interventions which are carried out before pregnancy have the ability to reduce the adverse effects of pregnancy (11).

Although pre-pregnancy care services had been proven to be successful, the level of utilization of these services and the knowledge of Malaysian women in the reproductive ages about pre-pregnancy care and its services remains unclear. Many

women in this country including those from the state of Kedah do not use pre-pregnancy care services, which are readily available and affordable. If these diseases were not controlled promptly, it will result in adverse outcome to the mother as well as the baby (12-13). The prevalence of pre-pregnancy care services in Philadelphia is relatively high (52%). Even though the usage of pre-pregnancy care services was quite high in this part of the world, there were still many barriers to women's reproductive age in using such services such as from the social and cultural point of view (14). We aimed to determine the prevalence of pre-pregnancy care services usage and its determinant factors among women of reproductive ages in Kedah, Malaysia.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out among married reproductive-aged women between the ages of 18 to 45 year old from all ethnic groups in Kedah in May 2015. It was conducted in 12 districts in Kedah chosen through a multistage random sampling. Eight districts were chosen and three government health clinics were then selected from each district. Overall, 24 government health clinics were finally selected to be included in this study. Selection of the respondents were through systematic random sampling where it was based on registration number listed in the registration book at the integrated counter in the 24 government health clinics involved in this study. Every five women who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were chosen to avoid bias.

We used a validated self-administered questionnaire which had satisfactory value of Cronbach's alpha of 0.927. This questionnaire consisted of 7 domain sections including socio-demographic characteristics, socio-economic background, social support, and knowledge on pre-pregnancy care, perception on risk of pregnancy, health status, as well as intention and awareness on pre-pregnancy care services. Overall, 1347 mothers answered the self-administered questionnaire in the Malay language in the clinics.

Six sub-questions, which consisted of 44 fractional responses, were used to assess the knowledge of pre-conception care and its services which includes knowledge of what was pre-pregnancy care, to whom such services should be designated for, the importance and goals of pre-pregnancy care services, types of services provided in the pre-pregnancy care clinics and where to get the service. Twelve items questionnaire related to pre-pregnancy care and its services were used to test the perception of respondents including perception towards risk of pregnancy as well as perception towards outcome of pregnancy and its complication. Meanwhile, in the social support section, there were four questions given to respondents.

Descriptive characteristics were summarized and presented as numbers and percentages. Chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between each variable and usage of pre-pregnancy care services. This was followed by multiple logistic re-

gression analysis utilized to examine the associations between the significant variables (P -value <0.05) with the uptake of pre-pregnancy care services. Dependent variable was the usage of pre-pregnancy care services while the independent variables were the social-demographic factors, awareness, intention, knowledge, perception, social support and medical history. Data were analyzed using SPSS ver. 20.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

Ethical approvals were obtained from National Medical Research Registration and Kedah State Health Department as well as Biomedical Ethics Committee UKM Medical Center.

Results

A total of 1500 questionnaires and consent forms were given to all respondents. However, only 1347 respondents agreed to participate in this study giving a response rate of 90%. Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile (n=1347)

| <i>Variable</i> | | <i>Number</i> | <i>%</i> |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------|
| Age group (yr) | <35 | 791 | 58.7 |
| | ≥ 35 | 556 | 41.3 |
| Mean age (SD) | 32 (± 6.377) | | |
| Ethnicity | Malay | 1190 | 88.3 |
| | Non-Malay | 157 | 11.7 |
| Education level | High (college and higher) | 345 | 25.6 |
| | Low (secondary to lower) | 1002 | 74.4 |
| Occupational | Not working | 601 | 44.6 |
| | Working | 746 | 55.4 |
| House whole income | < 259 USD | 483 | 35.9 |
| | ≥ 259 USD | 864 | 64.1 |
| Mean (SD) | 560 USD (± 363) | | |
| Parity | Multipara | 1083 | 80.4 |
| | Nulliparous | 264 | 19.6 |
| Family planning User | Yes | 540 | 40.1 |
| | No | 807 | 59.9 |
| Pregnant | Yes | 407 | 30.2 |
| | No | 940 | 69.8 |
| Planned pregnancy (n=940) | Yes | 540 | 40.1 |
| | No | 807 | 59.9 |
| Distance from health facilities | <5 KM | 573 | 42.5 |
| | ≥ 5 KM | 774 | 57.5 |

Most of the respondents were aware and they had intention to use the services. However, only 44.0% had ever used pre-pregnancy care services. Overall, the level of knowledge about pre-conception care and its services was low and respondents had

negative perception on pre-conception care and its services. Most of the respondents had good social support in utilizing pre-pregnancy care services (Table 2).

Bivariate analysis

Table 3 shows that only older age, high education level, non-working mothers, multiparous mothers and previous usage of family planning practice had a significant relationship with the use of pre-pregnancy care services. In Table 4, using bivariate

analysis, women who had an awareness of the existence of pre-pregnancy care services, those who had a high level of knowledge (score more than 22), women with positive perception, good social support and women with a history of chronic diseases were more likely to utilize pre-pregnancy care services.

Table 2: Awareness, intention and the use of pre-pregnancy care services, knowledge, perception, social support and medical history (n=1347)

| Variable | | Number (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|
| Awareness on PPC services | Yes | 1104 | 82.0 |
| | No | 243 | 18.0 |
| Intention to used PPC | Yes | 1147 | 85.2 |
| | No | 200 | 14.8 |
| PPC Usage | Yes | 593 | 44.0 |
| | No | 754 | 56.0 |
| Knowledge | High (score ≥22) | 647 | 48.0 |
| | Low (Score <22) | 700 | 52.0 |
| Perception | Positive (Score ≥31) | 637 | 47.4 |
| | Negative (Score <31) | 709 | 52.6 |
| Social support | Yes (Score ≥2) | 731 | 54.3 |
| | No (Score <2) | 616 | 45.7 |
| Medical history | Yes | 698 | 51.8 |
| | No | 649 | 48.2 |

Table 3: Relationship between socio-demographic and socio-economic with the use of pre-pregnancy care services, n=1347

| Characteristic | PPC usage | | Not PPC usage | | X ² | P value |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|------|----------------|---------|
| | n | % | n | % | | |
| Age (yr) | | | | | 25.424 | <0.001* |
| ≥35 | 290 | 52.2 | 266 | 47.8 | | |
| <35 | 303 | 38.3 | 488 | 61.7 | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | 0.441 | 0.507 |
| Malay | 520 | 43.7 | 670 | 56.3 | | |
| Non-Malay | 73 | 46.5 | 84 | 53.5 | | |
| Education level | | | | | 9.976 | 0.002* |
| High ^a | 177 | 51.3 | 168 | 48.7 | | |
| Low ^b | 416 | 41.5 | 586 | 58.7 | | |
| Occupation | | | | | 25.148 | <0.001* |
| Not working | 310 | 51.6 | 291 | 48.4 | | |
| Working | 283 | 37.9 | 463 | 62.1 | | |
| House whole income | | | | | 1.692 | 0.193 |
| ≥ RM 1660 | 369 | 42.7 | 495 | 57.3 | | |
| < RM 1660 | 224 | 46.4 | 259 | 53.6 | | |
| Parity | | | | | 88.982 | <0.001* |
| Multipara | 545 | 50.3 | 538 | 49.7 | | |
| Nulliparous | 48 | 18.2 | 216 | 81.8 | | |
| Family planning user | | | | | 7.389 | 0.007* |
| Yes | 262 | 48.5 | 278 | 51.5 | | |
| No | 331 | 41.0 | 476 | 59.0 | | |
| Pregnant | | | | | 0.545 | 0.460 |
| Yes | 173 | 42.5 | 234 | 57.5 | | |
| No | 420 | 44.7 | 520 | 55.3 | | |
| Planned pregnancy (n=940) | | | | | 0.352 | 0.553 |
| Yes | 163 | 45.9 | 192 | 54.1 | | |
| No | 257 | 43.9 | 328 | 56.1 | | |
| Distance from health facilities | | | | | 2.679 | 0.102 |
| <5 KM | 267 | 46.6 | 306 | 53.4 | | |
| ≥5 KM | 326 | 42.1 | 448 | 57.9 | | |

X² – Chi-square, *significant P-value<0.05, ^a College or University, ^b secondary school and below

Table 4: The relationship between awareness, intention, knowledge level, perception, social support and underlying medical illness with the use of pre-pregnancy care services

| <i>Characteristics</i> | <i>PPC usage</i> | | <i>Not PPC usage</i> | | <i>X²</i> | <i>P-value</i> |
|------------------------|------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|
| Awareness | | | | | | |
| Yes | 571 | 51.7 | 533 | 48.3 | 147.134 | <0.001* |
| No | 22 | 9.1 | 221 | 90.1 | | |
| Intention | | | | | | |
| Yes | 566 | 49.3 | 581 | 50.7 | 88.801 | 0.001* |
| No | 27 | 13.5 | 173 | 86.5 | | |
| Knowledge | | | | | | |
| High | 400 | 61.8 | 247 | 38.2 | 160.076 | <0.001* |
| Low | 193 | 27.6 | 507 | 72.4 | | |
| Perception | | | | | | |
| Positive | 408 | 63.9 | 230 | 36.1 | 195.297 | <0.001* |
| Negative | 185 | 26.1 | 542 | 73.9 | | |
| Social support | | | | | | |
| Yes | 380 | 52.0 | 351 | 48.0 | 41.098 | 0.001* |
| No | 213 | 34.6 | 403 | 65.4 | | |
| Medical history | | | | | | |
| Yes | 399 | 52.0 | 368 | 48.0 | 46.228 | 0.001* |
| No | 194 | 33.4 | 386 | 66.6 | | |

X² – Chi square, *significant P-value <0.05

Multivariate analysis

Multiple logistic regression analysis was finally utilized to determine the factors significantly associated with pre-pregnancy care users. Reproductive aged women of more than 35 years, women with higher level of education, non-working women and multiparous women had higher odds of using the pre-pregnancy care services compared to others. In addition, women who were aware of the existence of pre-pregnancy care services at government clinics and hospitals and women who had an intention to use pre-pregnancy care services had higher odds to use the services. Women with chronic medical illness or had bad obstetrics history, women with good social support, women who had high knowledge and positive perception, had higher odds of using pre-pregnancy care services as shown in Table 5.

Discussion

Our study found that the prevalence of pre-pregnancy care usage among reproductive-aged women in Kedah was considerably low, i.e. 44% only. Our findings were consistent with findings

from a meta-analysis research, which found that the prevalence of pre-pregnancy care services among women who had diabetes was low, i.e. between 34%-38% only (15). Another research on the use of pre-pregnancy care services among women with chronic diseases also found that only 18.1% to 45% women used these services. (16). In terms of education, we found, women who had a higher level of education were four times more likely to use pre-pregnancy care services. These study's findings were also consistent with few other studies where they also found women with higher education were more likely to use such services (17-18). Women who had higher education were more aware of the importance of pre-pregnancy health care than women with lower education.

We also found the use of family planning had a significant association with pre-pregnancy care services utilization. However, after conducting multivariate analysis it was found that there was no significant association between practicing family planning with the use of such services. In this study, only 40.1% of women were using family planning which was almost similar to findings in

another study in Kedah i.e. 43.0% (19). However, both percentages were lower than the result obtained from National Population and Family Development Board (NPFDB), Malaysia in 2004 i.e. 51.7% (20, 28). Another study carried out in the state of Selangor found only 19.2% high-risk women practiced contraception (21). Our study

also showed majority of the respondents were aware of the existence of pre-pregnancy care services and this result was significant. These findings were similar to study conducted in United Kingdom among women with diabetes in which they found 90% of women were aware of the existence of pre-pregnancy care services (22).

Table 5: Determinant of pre-pregnancy care usage by univariable and multivariable logistic regression

| <i>Characteristic</i> | <i>PPC usage n(%)</i> | <i>Non PPC us- age n(%)</i> | <i>COR^a (CI 95%)</i> | <i>AOR^b (CI 95%)</i> | <i>Wald</i> | <i>P-value</i> |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Age (yr) | | | | | | |
| ≥35 | 290(52.2) | 266(47.8) | 1.76 | 3.06 | 33.67 | <0.001 |
| [<35] | 303(38.3) | 488(61.7) | (1.41-2.19) | (2.10-4.46) | | |
| Education level | | | | | | |
| High ^a | 177(51.3) | 168(48.7) | 1.16 | 3.68 | 35.79 | <0.001 |
| [Low ^b] | 416(41.5) | 586(58.7) | (1.16-1.90) | (2.40-5.65) | | |
| Occupational | | | | | | |
| Not working | 310(51.6) | 291(48.4) | 1.74 | 1.95 | 12.60 | 0.001 |
| [Working] | 283(37.9) | 463(62.1) | (1.40-2.17) | (1.35-2.82) | | |
| Parity | | | | | | |
| Multipara | 545(50.3) | 538(49.7) | 4.56 | 2.78 | 15.85 | 0.001 |
| [Nulliparous] | 48(18.2) | 216(81.8) | (3.26-6.37) | (1.68-4.60) | | |
| Awareness | | | | | | |
| Yes | 571(51.7) | 533(48.3) | 10.76 | 5.18 | 29.90 | <0.001 |
| [No] | 22(9.1) | 221(90.9) | (6.84-16.94) | (2.87-9.34) | | |
| Intention | | | | | | |
| Yes | 566(49.3) | 581(50.7) | 6.24 | 1.94 | 4.68 | 0.030 |
| [No] | 27(13.5) | 173(86.5) | (4.09-9.52) | (1.07-3.55) | | |
| Medical History | | | | | | |
| Yes | 399(52.0) | 368(48.0) | 2.16 | 1.64 | 7.84 | 0.005 |
| [No] | 194(33.4) | 386(66.6) | (1.73-2.70) | (1.16-2.33) | | |
| Social support | | | | | | |
| Yes | 399(57.0) | 301(43.0) | 2.05 | 1.30 | 13.60 | 0.001 |
| [No] | 194(30.0) | 453(47.0) | (1.64-2.55) | (1.13-1.50) | | |
| Knowledge | | | | | | |
| High | 308(77.6) | 89(22.4) | 5.94 | 1.18 | 50.15 | <0.001 |
| [Low] | 92(36.8) | 158(63.2) | (4.19-8.42) | (1.15-1.21) | | |
| Perception | | | | | | |
| Positive | 100(41.5) | 141(58.5) | 2.80 | 1.17 | 22.02 | <0.001 |
| [Negative] | 93(20.3) | 366(79.5) | (1.98-3.93) | (1.14-1.21) | | |

Degree of freedom (df) =1, []-reference group, ^a College and above, ^b secondary school and below

However, in southern Nigeria, only 65.9.1% had ever heard of pre-pregnancy care (23).

In terms of intention to use pre-pregnancy care services, we found the majority of respondents had intention to use the pre-pregnancy care services. Our study findings were consistent with the study carried out in the United States (24). Another study conducted among women of reproductive age-group found most of them had intention to undergo pre-pregnancy screening for cystic fibrosis disease. However, according to this study, only 56% finally wanted to carry out pre-pregnancy screening for cystic fibrosis (25).

Our findings also showed that there was a significant relationship between social support and the use of pre-pregnancy care services. Women who had good social support were more likely to use pre-pregnancy care services than women who had less social support. This was because support from people surrounding these women, especially husbands, ensures women to acknowledge pre-pregnancy care services and encourages them to use these services. Social support from a partner was very important in giving women the opportunity to use pre-pregnancy care services (26-28).

We also found the overall level of knowledge of reproductive women in this country with regard to pre-pregnancy care services were low. We found knowledge of pre-pregnancy care were significantly associated with the use of pre-pregnancy care services. Another study supported the findings of this study (29). A study conducted in Sri Lanka found that the population in this country had low level of knowledge on pre-pregnancy care particularly about vaccination (30). Although the women of reproductive age had chronic diseases, the majority of them had low level of knowledge about pre-pregnancy care (31).

For the perception of pre-pregnancy care and its services, the majority of women had a negative perception of pre-conception care and its services. Bivariate analysis showed a significant relationship between the perception of women's pre-pregnancy care and use of such services. This study also found, most respondents did not agree that they were likely to become pregnant even when they were not using family planning although they

were in the reproductive age. A study also supported this finding, where a lot of women in the reproductive age did not feel that they were at risk for pregnancy or had a negative perception of the risk of pregnancy even if they were not using these contraceptives (32).

In terms of health status, our analysis found that most women had at least one health problem and showed a significant association between health problems with the use of pre-pregnancy care services. Health history involves chronic health problems or gynecological problems as well as problems during pregnancy. Almost 70% of women who had pre-pregnancy screening in health clinics in Selangor had at least one underlying medical history (21). Those who had chronic diseases were more likely to use pre-pregnancy care services more than those who did not have any type of chronic diseases (27).

We also found multiparous women had three times possibility of using pre-pregnancy care services compared to those who never had any children. However, multiparous women used less pre-pregnancy care services compared to nulliparous women. This was because they felt that they had enough knowledge on pregnancy as well as having had negative experiences during previous pregnancies (15, 33).

The low prevalence of pre-pregnancy care services uptake in Kedah, Malaysia was most likely due to lack of promotion and awareness about the importance of pre-pregnancy care which has been proven to be important to all reproductive women especially those who had underlying medical illnesses. We should strive to increase the knowledge and usage of these services among such women mainly because many studies had shown a significant decrease in adverse pregnancy outcome including maternal mortality i.e. from 15%-35%, reduction of perinatal mortality by 66% and decrease congenital malformation from 7.4% to 1.9% (35) due to these services.

Conclusion

It is very important to improve the knowledge and perception of pre-conception care and services

among women of reproductive age in this country, considering the low utilization of pre-pregnancy care services as shown in this study. With the effort by multiple stakeholders taken to improve the knowledge and perception of reproductive-aged women on such services, especially among women who are younger, less educated and do not have children, may result in the reduction of poor pregnancy outcome. This include the reduction of maternal mortality rate and at the same time, we hope to achieve one of the SDG targets in 2030, i.e. to reduce maternal mortality rate to 8.7 per 100,000 live births.

Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

Acknowledgements

The researcher would like to thank the Department of Community Health, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Kedah Health Department and all the people who had been involved in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

References

1. Boama V, Arulkumaran S (2009). Safer childbirth. A rights-based approach. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*, 106 (2): 125-127.
2. Bhutta ZA, Dean SV, Imam AM, Lassi ZS (2011). A systematic review of preconception risks and interventions. Karachi: The Aga Khan University. https://globalmotherchildresearch.tghn.org/site_media/media/articles/Preconception_Report.pdf
3. Lawn JE, Cousens S & Zupan J, et al (2005). Four Million Neonatal Deaths: When? Where? Why? *Lancet*, 365 (9462): 891-900.
4. World Health Organization (2013). Meeting to develop a global consensus on preconception care to reduce maternal and childhood mortality and morbidity. World Health Organization, Geneva. <http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/78067>
5. Annual Report (2013). Report of Family Health Development Division. Ministry of Health Malaysia. 2013. pp.: 23-55.
6. Kumar V, Mohanty S, Kumar A (2008). Effect of community-based behaviour change management on neonatal mortality in Shivgarh, Uttar Pradesh, India: A cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 372 (9644): 1151-1162.
7. Dean SV, Mason EM, Howson CP et al (2013). Born too soon: care before and between pregnancy to prevent preterm births: from evidence to action. *Reprod Health*, 10 (Suppl 1): S3.
8. Dean S, Rudan I, Althabe F et al (2013). Setting research priorities for preconception care in low-and middle-income countries: Aiming to reduce maternal and child mortality and morbidity. *PLoS Med*, 10(9): e1001508.
9. Family Health Report (2011). Report on Family Health Division, Kedah Health Department. pp.: 89-99.
10. Goldman JA, Dicker D, Feldberg D et al (1986). Pregnancy outcome in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus with preconceptional diabetic control: A comparative study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 155(2): 293-297.
11. Wahabi HA, Alzeidan RA, Esmail SA (2012). Pre-Pregnancy care for women with pre-gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 12: 792.
12. CEMACH (2005). Pregnancy in women with Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in 2002–2003, England, Wales and Northern Ireland. *London: Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health*, pp.: 98-115
13. Temple R (2011). Preconception care for women with diabetes: Is it effective and who should provide it? *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*, 25(1): 3-14.

14. Hogan VK, Amamoo MA, Anderson AD et al (2012). Barriers to women's participation in inter-conceptional care: A cross-sectional analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 12: 93.
15. Wahabi HA, Alzeidan RA, Esmacil SA (2012). Pre-Pregnancy care for women with pre-gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 12: 792.
16. Steel A, Lucke J, Adams J (2015). The prevalence and nature of the use of preconception services by women with chronic health conditions: An integrative review. *BMC Womens Health*, 15: 14.
17. Corbett E. Preconception health and wellness (PHD Thesis): Knowledge and attitudes of undergraduate women. *Tesis Colorado State University*. 2011.
18. Ezegwui H, Dim C, Dim N, Ikeme A (2008). Preconception care in South Eastern Nigeria. *J Obstet Gynaecol*, 28(8): 765-768.
19. Najimudeen M, Sachchithanatham K (2014). An insight into low contraceptive prevalence in Malaysia and its probable consequences. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*, 3(3):493-496.
20. Malaysian population and family survey-1994 and 2004 (2014). National Population and Family Development Board (NPFDB), Kuala Lumpur. <http://familyrepository.lppkn.gov.my/659/>
21. Mazlina MN, Ruziaton H, Nuraini D et al (2014). Risk factors for women attending pre-pregnancy screening in selected clinics in Selangor. *Malays Fam Physician*, 9(3): 20-26.
22. Murphy HR, Temple RC, Ball VE et al (2010). Personal experiences of women with diabetes who do not attend pre-pregnancy care. *Diabet Med*, 27(1):92-100.
23. Ezegwui HU, Dim C, Dim N, Ikeme AC (2008). Preconception care in South Eastern Nigeria. *J Obstet Gynaecol*, 28(8):765-8.
24. Coonrod DV, Bruce NC, Malcolm TD et al (2009). Knowledge and attitudes regarding preconception care in a predominantly low-income Mexican American Population. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 200(6):686.e1-7.
25. Poppelaars FA, Henneman L, Adèr HJ et al (2004). Preconceptional cystic fibrosis carrier screening: Attitudes and intentions of the target population. *Genet Test*, 8(2): 80-89.
26. Squiers L, Mitchell EW, Levis DM et al (2013). Consumers' perceptions of preconception health. *Am J Health Promot*, 27(30): S10-S19.
27. Misra DP, Caldwell C, Young, AA, Abelson S (2010) Do fathers matter? Paternal contributions to birth outcomes and racial disparities. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 202(2):99-100.
28. Moos MK (2010). From concept to practice: Reflections on the preconception health agenda. *J Women's Health (Larchmt)*, 19(3): 561-7.
29. Frey KA & Files JA (2006). Preconception healthcare: What women know and believe. *Matern Child Health J*, 10(Suppl 1): 73-77.
30. Wickremasinghe V, Prageeth P, Pulleperuma D, Pushpakumara K (2003). Preconceptional care of women at booking visit at De Soysa Maternity Hospital and Castle Street Hospital for Women. *Ceylon Med J*, 48(3): 77-79.
31. Chuang CH, Velott DL & Weisman CS (2010). Exploring knowledge and attitudes related to pregnancy and preconception health in women with chronic medical conditions. *Matern Child Health J*, 14(5):713-719.
32. Van Der Zee B, De Beaufort ID, Steegers EA, Denktas S (2013). Perceptions of preconception counselling among women planning a pregnancy: A qualitative Study. *Fam Pract*, 30(3):341-6.
33. Temel S, Birnie E, Sonneveld HM et al (2013). Determinants of the intention of preconception care use: Lessons from a multi-ethnic urban population in the Netherlands. *Int J Public Health*, 58(2):295-304.